

Eye on the World

Sept. 26, 2020

This compilation of material for “Eye on the World” is presented as a service to the Churches of God. The views stated in the material are those of the writers or sources quoted by the writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the members of the Church of God Big Sandy. The following articles were posted at churchofgodbigandy.com for the weekend of Sept. 26, 2020.

Compiled by Dave Havir

Luke 21:34-36—“But take heed to yourselves, lest your souls be weighed down with self-indulgence, and drunkenness, or the anxieties of this life, and that day come on you suddenly, like a falling trap; for it will come on all dwellers on the face of the whole earth. But beware of slumbering; and every moment pray that you may be fully strengthened to escape from all these coming evils, and to take your stand in the presence of the Son of Man” (Weymouth New Testament).



An article by Michael Brown titled “Historic Peace Treaty or Preparation for the Antichrist?” was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 19, 2020. Following is the article.

For evangelical Christians, it is difficult to look at major developments in the Middle East without wondering about biblical prophecy.

- Should we rejoice over the historic peace treaty between Israel and the UAE and Bahrain?
- Or is this leading to a dangerous, false peace that will only hasten the reign of the antichrist?

Let’s first recognize just how historic this peace treaty actually is.

For 30 years, from 1948 until 1978, not a single Middle Eastern nation made peace with Israel. It was not until 1979 that Egypt made that historic move, ultimately factoring into the assassination of the courageous Egyptian leader Anwar Sadat.

The next Middle Eastern (and Muslim) nation to make peace with Israel was Jordan in 1995, 16 years later. Since then, not a single Islamic, Middle Eastern nation has made peace with Israel. That is, until now, 25 years later.

Not only so, but this is the first time ever that two nations signed peace treaties on the same day. So, what previously took 47 years (from 1948 to 1995) took place in a matter of hours. This is completely beyond anything we have seen in the modern history of Israel.

Not only so, but this happened after President Trump moved our embassy to Jerusalem and after he officially recognized Israel's possession of the Golan Heights. This makes the peace treaty all the more remarkable.

Let's not forget that for years we were told that for America to make such moves would be disastrous, leading to an all-out war with the Muslim world. Instead, the aftermath has been a path of peace.

That's why Boaz Bismuth's article in Times of Israel was titled, "The Event That Will Change the History of the Middle East."

He wrote, "Even in a pandemic, we can allow ourselves to rejoice at the first open, warm peace between Israel and Arab countries, and ignore the cynics who are seeking to downplay the importance of today's events."

Obviously, the Palestinians are anything but happy with the treaty. And the details of the agreement must be carefully analyzed.

But what cannot be denied is the magnitude of this treaty, which is being hailed as the Abraham Accords. As one of the official documents states, "this development will help lead to a future in which all peoples and all faiths can live together in the spirit of cooperation and enjoy peace and prosperity where states focus on shared interests and building a better future."

Yet it is words like this that cause some prophecy-minded evangelicals to say, "Not so fast! After all, there will be no true peace in the Middle East until Jesus returns. Plus, there are prophetic scriptures that speak of a false peace orchestrated by the antichrist that will lull the world to sleep, leading to the slaughter of millions. Beware!"

Scriptures that would come to mind include 1 Thessalonians 5:3, where Paul wrote, "While people are saying, 'Peace and safety,' destruction will come on them suddenly, as labor pains on a pregnant woman, and they will not escape."

Also relevant is Ezekiel 38:11-12, where the hostile nations will say about Israel, "I will invade a land of unwalled villages; I will attack a peaceful and unsuspecting people—all of them living without walls and without gates and bars. I will plunder and loot and turn my hand against the resettled ruins and the people gathered from the nations, rich in livestock and goods, living at the center of the land."

Who could imagine the nation of Israel described as "a peaceful and unsuspecting people—all of them living without walls and without gates and bars"?

Who could imagine Israel putting its guard down?

Yet, according to some prophecy teachers, this passage will be fulfilled at the end of this age. In that light, shouldn't the Abraham Accords, which Trump

described as “the dawn of a new Middle East,” be viewed with great suspicion, especially if five or six other nations follow suit and join the peace process?

As someone who has been studying the Bible intensively for the better part of the last 50 years, I can say with absolute confidence that I do not know.

I do not know if this will prepare the way for the antichrist.

I do not know if this will ultimately hurt Israel more than it helps Israel.

But what I do know is that Jesus said, “Blessed are the peacemakers, for they will be called children of God” (Matthew 5:9).

What I do know is that Paul wrote, “If it is possible, as far as it depends on you, live at peace with everyone” (Romans 12:18).

I do know that it is better for Israel to have more friends than more enemies.

That being said, if sudden and dramatic peace came to the Middle East, I would be both hopeful and cautious.

■ Would this be the result of decades (if not centuries) of prayer and years of diplomacy?

■ Or would it be the first step towards a dangerous, one-world government that will ultimately oppose God Himself?

Obviously, only God knows. But when it comes to making national decisions, they must be based on pragmatism more than prophecy. That’s because religious believers from all backgrounds have often misinterpreted prophecy before it unfolds, wrongly predicting the end of the world or the return (or coming) of the Messiah.

But speaking of prophecy, this same Bible I have been quoting speaks of the day when nations like Egypt and Assyria (today, Iraq) will join together and worship the God of Israel after a time of great upheaval and judgment.

As stated by the prophet Isaiah, “In that day Israel will be the third, along with Egypt and Assyria, a blessing on the earth. The LORD Almighty will bless them, saying, ‘Blessed be Egypt my people, Assyria my handiwork, and Israel my inheritance’ ” (Isaiah 19:24-25).

In fact, Isaiah prophesied about other Arabian nations turning to the God of Israel through the Messiah (see Isaiah 42:11; 60:7).

And so, while only the Lord Himself knows the implications of this important peace treaty, at the least, let’s be glad that leaders are meeting together rather than killing each other.

And let us see the Abraham Accords as a reminder of the day when all the nations of the earth will come to Jerusalem to worship the Lord (Isaiah 2:1-4).

May He hasten that day!



An article by Sumantra Maitra titled "This Radical 1960's Movement in India Helps Explain Antifa Today" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 24, 2020. Following is the article.

FBI Director Chris Wray recently explained in detail threats to the republic from a range of subversive forces, from purposefully vague terms like "Russian efforts to influence American domestic polity" to leaderless but growing white supremacist movements. One thing stood out.

Wray claimed the Antifa network is an ideology, not an organization, and therefore cannot be designated as a terror group. That goes against the wishes of his own boss, the president of the United States.

Now, it is understandable that the FBI cannot, by law, police ideologies. American law doesn't allow government agencies to designate ideologies as destructive, as long as they do not promote active violence. In that way, it is different than Europe.

Germany, for example, can ban Nazi books, and Poland and Hungary can ban communism. But in the United States, ideas may be freely propagated, unless there's actual violence. "We don't really think of threats in terms of left, right at the FBI. We're focused on the violence, not the ideology," Wray noted.

The FBI accordingly has undertaken an investigation into individual actors who encourage or participate in violence but is apparently incapable of tackling Antifa as an organization. As the Associated Press reported, Wray said Antifa "is not a group or an organization. It's a movement or an ideology."

This is a flawed way to understand Antifa, as well as the threat it poses. It is easy to dismiss Antifa as a movement, not an organization, and therefore not subversive enough to threaten the existence of the republic. To do so is also historically flawed.

Another movement, very similar in character, can provide a template to understand how Antifa operates and what it seeks. To understand Antifa, one needs to know about the Naxalite movement of the late 1960s.

Let's jot over to India for a bit

Communism was once a minor social force in pre-independence-India, jump-started among Cambridge University-educated upper-middle-class students during the colonial British period. This helps explain why it never was popular among the common people.

During the British period, socially successful Indians were all upper-middle-class and above, those who were either from princely or land-owning families or who had an educated background. They were the ones who worked in the imperial civil service, law, and other professional fields.

After all, Britain was a tiny country that ruled all over the world, and a significant majority of the local population supported and ran the empire. Stories

of them are not told anymore because it would ruin the narrative of an evil colonial power exploiting lands faraway.

Of these people, who could afford to visit Britain for training and scholarship, a tiny subset was radicalized in Cambridge, a historically Marxist-dominated university. They then went back to India to start the vanguard party and lead the working class to revolution. They were not successful.

The communist movement splintered into various factions, as communism is wont to do, and from that, the Communist Party of India was born.

Then the communists became socialist democrats

Post-independence, it was increasingly clear India wouldn't see the violent revolution Karl Marx predicted. India in the 1950s, while under the borderline Peronist rule of J. L. Nehru with some broad socialist leanings, was still mostly democratic, and followed the British-designed system, with a Parliament and rule of law.

After some initial protests, therefore, the communist parties also started taking part in electoral politics and turned into Euro-style social-democratic parties favoring incremental electoral changes, not a violent overthrow of the democratic system. Most parties also changed their rhetoric after the Sino-Indian border war of 1962.

Nationalism was a much stronger social force than internationalism. While all the left parties retained their communist names and spoke in terms of workers' liberation, they were no different than the student movements in Europe, which were broadly anti-Western and pro-Soviet.

All except one. For some well-educated students, the dream of a violent revolution did not die. From that, the Naxalite movement was born, in 1967.

What was the Naxalite movement?

Like Antifa, the Naxalite movement was mostly a band of college and university students led by radical professors, mostly an upper-middle-class crowd who could afford university education in the 1960s. The hub of the student-led movement was Calcutta University, one of the oldest, most prestigious, and British-established universities in India.

Like Antifa, the movement was not centrally organized, although a lot of students called themselves Marxist-Leninists and actively looked up to Mao Zedong for ideological guidance, even though they never got any genuine support from Beijing. It was comprised of different splintered student groups, with their own local grassroots organizations and chains of commands.

Like Antifa, they had a medical unit, a scouting party, an intel-gathering movement, and "armed struggle" groups. The movement had different regional party structures with broad ideological overlap, while individual methods were dependent on local and regional politics.

For example, Antifa in Seattle and Portland might not be formally connected, but they broadly use the same tactics, study each other's failures and successes, have a similar command structure, and support each other over social media.

That is exactly how it was in India in the early 1970s. Local Naxalites, whether in the east or south India, differed in rhetoric and local politics, which was catered to the specific region and state in question.

Yet they broadly shared a similar organizational set up as well as attack plans, of hit-and-runs, burning government properties, and killing policemen. Although policemen are working-class, just like with Antifa they were nonetheless deemed class enemies and part of the oppressive structure.

The hypocrisy of university-educated upper-middle-class rebels committing violence that mostly affects working-class people doing their jobs is ever-present in any leftist armed movement.

Elites abusing and abdicating their proper roles

The Naxalite movement did not succeed. Just like the internal contradiction of elites pretending to be rebels opposed to nationalism and religion, it withered away and never got mass support.

Every form of leftism, whether liberalism or Marxism, has always been about a vanguard movement, by "educated and enlightened elites" who think they can decide what's good or bad for the hoi-polloi while pretending to be a mass movement.

There's nothing wrong with being elites. Every society has some hierarchy, and both ancient aristocrats and Platonic epistocrats, for example, were openly elite-led.

But the difference is in a left-winger pretending to be for the people while working against their interests and pressuring them to conform to his ideas. It ultimately becomes so blatant that it destroys any such left-wing movement from within.

Also, the republic of India cracked down brutally in the 1960s and '70s, in a way that is unthinkable these days in either the United States or even India.

Hundreds of students were killed in conflicts with local police; thousands were jailed for life. Thousands more reformed once they realized what they signed up for, or left the movement the moment there was an armed pushback from the state.

Others were sent abroad by their rich parents. Like most middle-class citizens, counter-violence broke the back of pretentious rebels.

It is important for Americans, especially Republican lawmakers, to understand and study the Naxalite movement, as it provides a template to understand what is happening now.

To think that disintegrated rebel movements without a central organization are not a threat to the republic is simply historical.

It is also idiotic to deem them a movement without a structure. The structure is organic. It spreads, copies, and connects at a grassroots level.

And it withers away the moment it faces a concerted political pushback. Whether they face that pushback is contingent on political will.



“Eye on the World” comment: The following list of articles consists of headlines of extra articles, which are considered international. The articles were not posted, but the headlines give the essence of the story.

-
- An article by Patrick Goodenough titled “Kerry in 2016: ‘There Will Be No Separate Peace Between Israel and the Arab World’ ” was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 17, 2020.
 - An article by Gayle Trotter titled “End the Nobel Peace Prize—If Trump Doesn’t Win It” was posted at thehill.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
 - An article by Frances Martel titled “King of Bahrain at U.N.: Israel Peace Deals ‘Send a Civilized Message’ to the World” was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 24, 2020.
 - An article titled “Israeli Defense Chief Heads to US to Discuss Military Edge” was posted at apnews.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
 - An article titled “In Israeli Settlement, Evangelicals Help Harvest Grapes” was posted at afp.com on Sept. 24, 2020.
 - An article by John Solomon titled “Trump Administration to Reimpose Sanctions on Iran” was posted at justthenews.com on Sept. 20, 2020.
 - An article by Adam Shaw titled “Trump Tells UN to Hold China Accountable for Coronavirus Pandemic” was posted at foxnews.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
 - An article by Simon Kent titled “U.N. Chief Guterres Calls for ‘Improved World Governance’ ” was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
 - An article titled “China Launches Counter-Mechanism to US Sanctions List” was posted at afp.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
 - An article by Gab Landsverk titled “People Across the US Have Relied on KN95 Face Masks for Protection; But the Majority Imported From China Have Failed Safety Standards” was posted at businessinsider.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
 - An article by Anna Fifield titled “China Is Building Vast New Detention Centers for Muslims in Xinjiang” was posted at WashingtonPost.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
 - An article by Victoria Friedman titled “Britons Are Swamping Police Line to Rat on Neighbors: Report” was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
 - A Reuters article by Raul Cortez Fernandez titled “ ‘We Have to Comply,’ Mexican President Says As Friction Grows Over U.S. Water Pact” was posted at Reuters.com on Sept. 18, 2020.



An article by Michael Brown titled "Why Everyone Loses in the Breonna Taylor Tragedy" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 24, 2020. Following is the article.

If there's one thing we can agree on, it is that Breonna Taylor's death is a terrible tragedy. No one with a working heart and functioning brain will deny that.

- But who is to blame for her death?
- Were the police at fault?
- Was her boyfriend at fault?
- Is the legal system at fault?
- And how should the public respond to the verdict of the grand jury?

Reliable narrative

Reading the various accounts of the shooting, this seems to be the most reliable narrative.

1. Three Louisville, Kentucky policemen were issued a warrant to search an apartment for drugs in the middle of the night.
2. They knocked at the door of Breonna Taylor's apartment around 12:40 AM.
3. Kenneth Walker, Breonna's boyfriend, says he heard the knock, asked loudly who was there (as did Taylor), but got no response.
4. The police claim to have identified themselves, but either way, we know they knocked on the door and, when they heard no response, they broke in using a battering ram.
5. As the police broke in, Walker fired once, as Taylor stood next to him. (According to her attorneys, she was shot in the hallway, not asleep in bed.)
6. Walker's shot hit one of the officers. The others responded with a hail of bullets, resulting in Taylor's death, with the fatal bullet allegedly killing her within two minutes.
7. Since charges against Walker for attempted murder of a police officer were dropped, we believe his report that he was not aware they were police.
8. The grand jury found none of the officers guilty in the shooting of Taylor but did find one officer (whose bullet did not kill her) guilty of shooting recklessly into neighboring apartments. This officer was subsequently fired.
9. Prior to the grand jury's announcement on Wednesday, the city granted Taylor's family 12 million dollars for her wrongful death.

How, then, do we sort out this painful, deadly story?

Breonna should not be dead

■ First, Breonna Taylor should not be dead. Let us not forget that for one moment. This has been acknowledged by the city's wrongful death settlement.

As reported by ABC News, Mayor Greg Fischer "said that in addition to the monetary settlement, the largest in a police use-of-force case in Louisville history, the city will implement a series of police department reforms 'to prevent a tragedy like this from ever happening again.' "

Examine role of warrants

■ Second, although the warrant was legally executed, critics have claimed that other factors were involved, including a move towards the gentrification of the neighborhood.

According to Appeal.com, "Whatever the truth in this case, academic research and historical scholarship show that policing can be particularly intense during the process of gentrification. This research suggests that the continued use of police to pursue economic development will most likely result in more needless stops, arrests, and deaths like Breonna Taylor's."

This, then, is another question to be sorted out by others with detailed and factual knowledge. But it is understandable that many in the black community will question the legitimacy of the warrant in the first place.

Kenneth Walker's understandable reaction

■ Third, when it comes to Kenneth Walker, what would you expect him to do?

He hears a knock at the door in the middle of the night. He has no idea who is there. The next thing he knows, the door has been broken down and armed men burst in. He shoots at them to protect himself and his girlfriend.

Again, the fact that all charges against him were dropped indicates that his account was believed: he did not know he was shooting at police officers executing a search warrant. Should he be faulted? No.

Officers' understandable reaction

■ Fourth, when it comes to the police, what would you expect them to do?

They are looking for drugs and might encounter an armed drug dealer. They knock on the door, no one answers, so they break in, as per their warrant. The next thing they know, a shot is fired and one of them is hit. They respond with deadly force—and again, this is taking place in the middle of the night.

Should they be faulted? Obviously, some fault was found by the grand jury, but not in the killing of Taylor.

She was an innocent victim in a case of mistaken identity on both sides, and there is no indication that the police were targeting an unarmed black woman.

As for the police, what else are they trained to do when shot at and wounded? Of course they shot back multiple times.

Grand jury's understandable reaction

■ Fifth, when it comes to the grand jury, based on the evidence they had, they had no reason to charge the officers in Taylor's death.

Again, she was the innocent victim caught in a deadly crossfire between mistaken combatants.

Black community's understandable rage

■ Sixth, when it comes to the black community, it is totally understandable that many would say, "Here we go again! An unarmed black person is killed in the middle of the night in the privacy of her own home, a woman with no criminal record. The system has failed us once more."

The fact that Louisville already paid out 12 million dollars in a wrongful death suit is bound to raise eyebrows.

Why a monetary payment for wrongful death yet no criminal charges for wrongful death?

Riots unjustified and unproductive

All this being said, violent riots, including shooting of police, are both unjustified and unproductive, even if some of the rage is understandable.

But I ask, again, once the warrant was issued, who was at fault?

I don't see how Walker can be blamed for firing first. What would all the Second Amendment supporters say?

I don't see how the police can be blamed for firing back.

And I don't see how the grand jury can be blamed for reaching their verdict, based on the evidence presented, which found only the officer who had already been fired guilty.

Yet, before the grand jury's decision was announced, there was little question that no one would be satisfied with the outcome.

Whatever the verdict, there would be fierce criticism from one side or the other. That's why the city braced itself in advance.

Unfortunately, nothing can bring back Breonna Taylor, and the monetary payment to the family is a small consolation for her loss.

Police reforms

But that doesn't mean there are no flaws in the system and that no deeper questions should be asked.

We all agree that drugs and drug dealing are a terrible bane on our society, resulting in countless casualties every year. That means that routing out drug dealers is both necessary and good. And police officers put their own lives at risk in the process.

But is there a way to do this that will not put other, innocent lives at risk?

Are there police reforms that can be implemented, as per the mayor?

Not burning or shooting

Those are the questions we should be asking. Burning down another city or targeting police officers for death or engaging in angry, hate-filled rhetoric are evil themselves and will solve nothing.

So, what will it be? Will we continue to collapse into social anarchy? Or will we work together across racial and political lines to build a more perfect union?



“Eye on the World” comment: Following are some additional headlines concerning matters about Breonna Taylor.

■ An article by Matthew Glowicki titled “Gov. Beshear Sends 500 National Guard Troops to Louisville for Breonna Taylor Protests” was posted at courier-journal.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article by Danielle Wallace and Michael Ruiz titled “Breonna Taylor Shooting: Fired Louisville Officer Indicted on Criminal Charges, But Not Her Death” was posted at foxnews.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article titled “No Officers Indicted for Breonna Taylor Death, But One Charged for Shooting Into Neighboring Apartments” was posted at market-watch.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article by Matt Vespa titled “‘Burn It Down’: Louisville Could Be Engulfed in Mayhem As BLM Protesters Are Out in Force” was posted at town-hall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ A video and an article by Libby Emmons titled “Watch: Anarchists Unpack U-Haul Truck Filled With Riot Gear in Louisville After Breonna Taylor Verdict” were posted at thepostmillennial.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article by Tristan Justice titled “MSNBC Guest [Cheryl Dorsey] Says Black Kentucky Republican Attorney General Is ‘Skinfolk, Not Kinfolk’ ” was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article by Curtis Houck titled “Racist Joy [Reid of MSNBC]: Daniel Cameron [Kentucky AG] Thinks It’s Legal to Kill Black People Whenever Police Want To” was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article by Curtis Houck titled “CNN Savages ‘Disingenuous’ and ‘Juvenile’ Kentucky AG As a Right-Wing Hack” was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 23, 2020.

- A video and an article by Dylan Gwinn titled "Watch: ESPN's Jalen Rose: 'It Would Be a Great Day to Arrest the Cops That Murdered Breonna Taylor'" were posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Tristan Justice titled "Riots Erupt in Denver Over Grand Jury Verdict in Breonna Taylor's Case" was posted at [thefederalist.com](https://www.thefederalist.com) on Sept. 24, 2020.



"Eye on the World" comment: Although the details about the involvement of Hunter Biden with the Ukraine politicians involve Barack Obama and Joe Biden, the corporate media is not covering the story. Following are headlines.

-
- An article titled "Hunter Biden Allegations Display Media Double Standard: Senator Lindsey Graham" was posted at [foxnews.com](https://www.foxnews.com) on Sept. 24, 2020.
 - An article by Jeff Poor titled "Donald Trump Jr.: 'I'd Be in Jail Right Now' If I had Hunter Biden's Business Dealings" was posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Sept. 24, 2020.
 - Looking back to 2019, an article by Daniel Chaitin titled "'More to Be Told': New York Times Reporter Says Ukraine Story a 'Significant Liability' for Joe Biden" was posted at [washingtonexaminer.com](https://www.washingtonexaminer.com) on Sept. 21, 2019.
 - Looking back to 2019, an article by Tim Haines titled "Giuliani on Biden/ Ukraine: 'Ladies and Gentlemen, Go Look at What the Press Has Been Covering Up on You'" was posted at [realclearpolitics.com](https://www.realclearpolitics.com) on Sept. 22, 2020.
 - Looking back to 2019, an article by Joan Vennoch titled "It's Fair to Question Hunter Biden's Business Dealings" was posted at [bostonglobe.com](https://www.bostonglobe.com) on Sept. 23, 2019.
 - Looking back to 2019, an article by Tucker Carlson and Neil Patel titled "Biased Press Ignores Hunter Biden Story" was posted at [bostonherald.com](https://www.bostonherald.com) on Oct. 21, 2019.
 - An article titled "Hunter Biden, Burisma and Corruption: The Impact on U.S. Government Policy and Related Concerns" was posted at [hsgac.senate.gov](https://www.hsgac.senate.gov) on Sept. 18, 2020.
 - An article by John Solomon titled "A Year After Impeachment, Hunter Biden's Ukraine Activities Come Home to Roost" was posted at [justthenews.com](https://www.justthenews.com) on Sept. 21, 2020.
 - An article by Lisa Hagen titled "Senate Republicans Issue Findings on Hunter Biden's Ukraine Work" was posted at [usnews.com](https://www.usnews.com) on Sept. 23, 2020.
 - An article by John Solomon titled "Senate Report Slams Bidens for Conflicts of Interest, Flags Possible Criminal Activity" was posted at [justthenews.com](https://www.justthenews.com) on Sept. 23, 2020.

- An article by Tristan Justice titled "Hunter Biden Took \$3.5 Million From Ex-Moscow Mayor's Wife" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Katie Pavlich titled "This Detail in the Hunter Biden Report [Hunter Received a \$3.5 Million Wire Transfer From the Wife of Moscow's Mayor Sounded Like More Russian Collusion Than Was Alleged About Trump] Again Exposes the Media's Insane Double Standard" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Katie Pavlich titled "Trump Campaign: Joe Biden Lied About His Knowledge of Hunter's Shady Foreign Deals" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Leah Barkoukis titled "Senate Report Details Hunter Biden's Shady Foreign Business Dealings" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Andrew Duehren and Dustin Volz titled "Hunter Biden's Ukraine Work Raised Concerns With Obama Officials, GOP-Led Probe Confirms" was posted at wsj.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Ian Hanchett titled "Rand Paul: I'm Sending Hunter Biden Report to DOJ for Criminal Referral" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 24, 2020.



"Eye on the World" comment: Some politicians and media members are making threats if they don't get their own way. Following are headlines.

-
- An article by Nina Totenberg titled "Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, Champion of Gender Equality, Dies at 87" was posted at npr.org on Sept. 18, 2020.

Packing Supreme Court

- Looking back to 2019, a video and an article by Nina Totenberg titled "NPR Interview With Justice Ginsburg: 'I'm Still Very Much Alive' [Where She Mentioned That She Was Not in Favor of Increasing the Number of Justices Past 9]" was posted at npr.org on July 24, 2019.
- An article by Hannah Bleau titled "Democrats' History of Threatening to Expand SCOTUS With Progressive Justices" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article by Haris Alic titled "[Democrat Jerry] Nadler Urges Democrats to 'Expand' Supreme Court If GOP Fills Ginsburg Seat Before Inauguration" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article by Ian Hanchett titled "[Democrat Dick] Durbin on Adding Seats to SCOTUS: We've 'Kept Options Open' " was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article by Jeff Poor titled "Former [Obama] AG [Eric] Holder: 'Additional Justices' Needed on Supreme Court If Trump Appointment Confirmed" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 19, 2020.

- An article by James P. Pinkerton titled "The Democrats' Long History of Trying to Pack the Supreme Court" was posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Sept. 22, 2020.

Burn it down; Blow it up

- An article by Kristina Wong titled "Blue Checks Vow Violence If McConnell Tries to Replace Ruth Bader Ginsburg: 'Burn the Entire F**king Thing Down' " was posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Susan Jones titled "Mark Levin: Democrats Are Telling Us They Want to Control Supreme Court, Destroy Senate and Electoral System" was posted at [cnsnews.com](https://www.cnsnews.com) on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by John Nolte titled "CNN's Don Lemon Says 'We're Gonna Have to Blow Up the Entire System' " was posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Sept. 22, 2020.

Making threats

- An article by David Ng titled "Rob Reiner Rages Over RBG Replacement Battle: 'This Is War; Dems Have Powerful Weapons; Now Is the Time to Use Them' " was posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article by Dom Calicchio titled "Mitch McConnell's Kentucky Home Targeted in Supreme Court Vacancy Protest" was posted at [foxnews.com](https://www.foxnews.com) on Sept. 20, 2020.
- An article by Tristan Justice titled "Demonstrators Flock to Senators' Homes Protesting Trump SCOTUS Nominee" was posted at [thefederalist.com](https://www.thefederalist.com) on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by John Daniel Davidson titled "Democrats' 'Norm' Is Brutalizing the Reputations of Republican Court Nominees" was posted at [thefederalist.com](https://www.thefederalist.com) on Sept. 24, 2020.

Promising riots

- An article by Tristan Justice titled "Democrats Ramp Up Their War on America's Institutions, Promising Riots If Trump Nominates a Justice" was posted at [thefederalist.com](https://www.thefederalist.com) on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Angelo Isidorou titled "Disgraced Former CNN Host [Reza Aslan] Doubles Down on Threats of Violence If Republicans Fill Vacant SCOTUS Seat" was posted at [thepostmillennial.com](https://www.thepostmillennial.com) on Sept. 22, 2020.

Speedy confirmations

- An article by Jordan Davidson titled "More Than Half of All Supreme Court Justices Were Confirmed in 45 Days or Less" was posted at [thefederalist.com](https://www.thefederalist.com) on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Michael W. Chapman titled "First Female SCOTUS Justice Sandra O'Connor Confirmed by Senate in 33 Days; Justice Stevens in 19 Days" was posted at [cnsnews.com](https://www.cnsnews.com) on Sept. 22, 2020.

Moving forward

■ An article by Thomas Jippig titled “Democrats’ Arguments for Confirming Merrick Garland in 2016 Support Confirming Trump’s Nominee Now” was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 23, 2020.



An article by Ben Shapiro titled “Democrats Threaten to Burn Down the Republic to Stack the Supreme Court” was posted at creators.com on Sept. 23, 2020. Following is the article.

Last week, Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg died at the age of 87. Her death immediately initiated a political firestorm in Washington, D.C.—one that threatens the very integrity of the republic.

That’s not because, as the media and Democrats would claim, some sort of institutional norm has been violated by a Republican president selecting a replacement for Ginsburg to be voted on by a Republican Senate.

It’s because Democrats have declared that so long as they are unable to replace Ginsburg with an activist left-wing judge willing to use the Constitution to cram down liberal policy prescriptions, they will tear down every barrier to majoritarian tyranny.

The Constitution was specifically constructed to promote gridlock. That’s because the Founding Fathers greatly feared majoritarian tyranny—legalized mob rule by which simple majorities could cram down violations of rights on minorities.

■ To that end, they balanced the House of Representatives, which was popularly elected, and the Senate, which was represented by state and selected by state legislatures.

■ They balanced the legislative branch with the executive and judicial branches.

■ They balanced power between a federal structure and state governments.

■ The founders believed that the greatest protection for individual rights lay in ambition checking ambition at every level.

Progressives have, since the beginning of the 20th century, objected to this philosophy of government. Instead, they have seen institutional gridlock as a danger to “getting things done.”

Former President Woodrow Wilson famously explained that “Men as communities are supreme over men as individuals,” and that, therefore, there ought to be no institutional checks against governmental necessity.

Democrats have faithfully carried forward that vision, checked only by political reality.

- Wilson unconstitutionally expanded the executive branch.
- Franklin Roosevelt infamously sought to pack the Supreme Court.
- Lyndon Johnson radically expanded the size and scope of the federal government.
- Barack Obama declared that the government itself “is us.”

That meant that for the political left, all institutions of government had to be converted into instruments of power or destroyed. The left has done just that with the Supreme Court for generations, viewing it as a repository for transformational change rather than a legal body with a mandate to only interpret honestly the words of the law. With that view of the court threatened by a Republican-appointed majority, Democrats are now panicking.

And they are responding with radical threats to break every check and balance.

- This week, Democrats openly threatened to destroy the Senate filibuster, a traditional mechanism for restraining bare majorities, most recently used by Democrats themselves to stymie COVID-19 relief funding.
- They threatened to add new states to the union, specifically citing federal territories they believe will elect Democrats.
- They also threatened to pack the Supreme Court, reestablishing a Democratic-appointed majority by adding new seats.

These actions aren't merely violative of constitutional principles and the founding philosophy. They are dangerous.

Imagine a 55-vote Democratic majority in a 104-seat body, cramming through a gun confiscation measure, greenlit by a 13-member court packed by Democrats.

Will red states simply acquiesce to this overt seizure of power, to this absurd rewriting of the constitutional bargain?

Why should they?

All of which means that the 2020 presidential race has now become a referendum on the Democrats, not President Donald Trump.

Democratic candidate Joe Biden's inherent campaign pledge was a return to stability, not a leap into revolution. But by threatening the institutional architecture, Biden's campaign has become just that.

Now Americans will be forced to choose between the vulgarity of Donald Trump—a vulgarity and boorishness, however off-putting, that has not threatened constitutional rights—and a vengeful Democratic Party threatening to remold the country from the top down.



An article by Michelle Malkin titled “Sunrise Movement: The Riotous Left's Pot-Banging Brats” was posted at creators.com on Sept. 23, 2020. Following is the article.

Wake up. The “community organizers” of the left are in full wildebeest mode.

Now is not the time for bending down, rolling over or playing nice. From now until Election Day (and likely until the end of the year), you can expect screaming banshees carrying identical, preprinted signs to turn up in the middle of the night at the private homes of elected politicians, Donald Trump campaign and administration officials, law enforcement officers, judges and conservative leaders.

This is not conjecture. A nationwide agitation force milked the dead body of George Floyd to create the current anarchotyranny. Now, in keeping with her dying wish, the mob will use the fresh corpse of Ruth Bader Ginsburg to try to bully Republicans into submission over President Donald Trump’s rightful Supreme Court nomination.

The ultimate goal: obstruction and delegitimization of Trump’s reelection.

On cue, a bunch of demonstrators all in matching yellow-and-black T-shirts from the Sunrise Movement turned out in front of South Carolina Sen. Lindsey Graham’s house in Washington, D.C., this weekend, banging pots, pans and buckets.

The disrupters also blasted airhorns, pointed strobe lights at the windows and crashed cymbals to punctuate their collective fit over Ginsburg’s passing. Not a single one was arrested for disturbing the peace.

■ One organizer shrieked at Graham (who was not at home at the time): “You are an old white man desperately clinging to power that you can’t hold onto much longer because we’re coming for it. And in the meantime, you are not filling that Supreme Court seat. Not on our watch. The people will decide who fills that seat. No justice, no sleep!”

■ Another threatened: “Anyone who is seeing this can do the same thing to your representative, can do the same thing learning about how to hold them accountable. Make sure they know if they do some evil (expletives), they know there will be consequences for it.”

■ Shrieker Number One concluded: “We will vote, we will organize, we will strike, and we will keep showing up in your goddamned driveway at the crack of dawn to let you know that WE ARE WIDE AWAKE!”

Who is the Sunrise Movement? It’s as organic as Spam and as genuine as AstroTurf.

This full-time rent-a-mob is an adjunct of the Sierra Club (annual budget: \$100 million; top donors: Michael Bloomberg, George Soros).

The Sierra Club provided five-figure initial seed grants to Sunrise’s educational arm, as well as Beltway office space. Other original funders of Sunrise: The Rockefeller Foundation and Wallace Global Fund (which has also contributed to the George Soros-subsidized Tides Center, Color of Change cancel culture guerilla warriors, and far-left legal policy groups Alliance for Justice and the Brennan Center for Justice).

The Sunrise Movement's co-founder, Evan Weber, is a former Occupy Wall Street organizer. Two others, Sara Blazeovic and Varshini Prakash, are Green New Deal zealots and Bernie Sanders activists who teamed with Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez to push the Democrats even further left.

Prakash serves as an advisory board member of Climate Power 2020 along with Soros-funded Center for American Progress head John Podesta, former Obama administration environmental czar Carol Browner, former Obama administration science czar John Holdren, former Obama administration Secretary of State John Kerry, former Obama administration EPA head Gina McCarthy, and former Obama administration U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Samantha Power.

According to Forbes magazine, Sunrise has 50 full-time staff and \$6.5 million in funding. The Sunrise Movement's political arm "promotes social justice ideals" through sit-ins and neighborhood invasions.

"Sunrise School" is recruiting students online on how to plan attacks on private property and get press coverage (like a glowing CNN report Monday that celebrated its "youth organizers"). The goal: "mass civil disobedience."

For the past three years, the group has established "Sunrise Movement Houses" where "fellows" ages 18-25 move into training centers in key electoral states. The Sunrise website boasts more than 400 "hubs" across the country, from Kodiak, Alaska, to Portland and Seattle, to Santa Fe, El Paso, Milwaukee, Detroit, Des Moines, Boston, Tampa and all points in between.

Most recently, Sunrise has trained its street performers for "defund the police" actions with illegal immigrant rabble-rousers from Soros-funded Mijente and Dream Defenders, as well as the Democratic Socialists of America's Afrosocialists.

In July, Sunrise trainees descended on Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell's house in Louisville, Kentucky. This weekend, the drum-pounders targeted Graham as well as Sen. Thom Tillis of North Carolina. Republican Senators Pat Toomey of Pennsylvania, Cory Gardner of Colorado and Ron Johnson of Wisconsin are their next targets, as well Supreme Court short list candidate Barbara Lagoa.

They may look and sound like pesky, snot-nosed toddlers having harmless temper tantrums in black pajamas. But these professionally organized punks provide obstructionist boots on the ground for globalist Democratic billionaires and strategic cover for their violent antifa and Black Lives Matter comrades.

Wake up, smell the manufactured chaos, and vote to put these pot-banging brats and their donors in time out. Four more years.



Looking back to 2008, an article by Byron York titled "What Did [Barack] Obama Do As a Community Organizer?" was posted at nationalreview.com on Sept. 8, 2008. Following are excerpts of the article.

Barack Obama often cites his time as a community organizer here in Chicago as one of the experiences that qualify him to hold the nation's highest office. "I can bring this country together," he said in a debate last February. "I have a track record, starting from the days I moved to Chicago as a community organizer."

When Obama says such things, the reaction among many observers is: Huh?

Audiences understand when he mentions his years as an Illinois state legislator, or his brief tenure in the U.S. Senate. But a community organizer? What's that?

I counted myself among those who didn't have a good idea of what a community organizer does. So I came here to learn more about Obama's time in the job, from 1985 to 1988.

What did he do?

What did he accomplish?

And what in his experience here stands as a qualification to be president of the United States?

Agitating to create pressure

Perhaps the simplest way to describe community organizing is the following.

- It is the practice of identifying a specific aggrieved population (say unemployed steelworkers, or itinerant fruit-pickers, or residents of a particularly bad neighborhood).
- And then agitating them until they become so upset about their condition that they take collective action to put pressure on local, state, or federal officials to fix the problem, often by giving the affected group money.

Organizers like to call that "direct action."

The radical's rules

Community organizing is most identified with the left-wing Chicago activist Saul Alinsky (1909-72), who pretty much defined the profession.

- In his classic book, *Rules for Radicals*, Alinsky wrote that a successful organizer should be "an abrasive agent to rub raw the resentments of the people of the community; to fan latent hostilities of many of the people to the point of overt expressions."
- Once such hostilities were "whipped up to a fighting pitch," Alinsky continued, the organizer steered his group toward confrontation, in the form of picketing, demonstrating, and general hell-raising.
- At first, the organizer tackled small stuff, like demanding the repair of streetlights in a city park; later, when the group gained confidence, the organizer could take on bigger targets.

■ But at all times, the organizer’s goal was not to lead his people anywhere, but to encourage them to take action on their own behalf.

Alinsky started in the 1930s with workers in the Chicago stockyards.

Obama’s turn

Many years later Obama arrived here.

“Barack had been very inspired by the civil-rights movement,” Jerry Kellman, the organizer who hired Obama, told me recently. “I felt that he wanted to work in the civil-rights movement, but he was ten years too late, and this was the closest he could find to it at the time.”



An article by Will Alexander titled “Sports World Gets ‘Equality’ All Wrong” was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 20, 2020. Following is the article.

The fatal flaw in the idea that America is rife with racial inequality is a failure to distinguish between two kinds of equality: the equality of blacks as human beings, and the equality of their performance in the social and economic arenas.

The root of so many stubborn problems in America’s inner cities have less to do with skin color than with behavior.

But instead of getting to the root of the problem, academia, corporate-types, the media, Hollywood, and now professional sports have become a septic system that pipes the rotten narrative of victimhood all over the nation, forcing regular folks to clean up all the crap.

Confusing race-based inequality with performance-based inequality is what’s getting business looted and demolished, and people beaten and killed in the Twilight Zone known as the Black Lives Matter movement.

Of all industries, the “put-up-or-shut-up” world of sports should know better. “Put up or shut up” is all about performance. A player’s history, pedigree, race, likeability, good looks—or bad looks—is completely separate from his value to the game. His value to the game is measured solely by his performance.

Michael Jordan once said, at the end of the day, his galactic celebrity wasn’t about his Nike contract, or the “I want to be like Mike” Gatorade commercials, or the “Space Jam” cartoon. “My game did my talking,” he said.

It would be an insult to say that Jordan was great because of raw talent. No one in basketball worked harder to perfect their game. What set him apart was a relentless work ethic. No matter which one of Jordan’s coaches you talk to—high school, college, the NBA, baseball—all agreed on one thing: “Michael Jordan put in whatever work was necessary to get better and better and better and better.”

What we know to be true in sports, we pretend not to know in the game of life.

■ Is anyone protesting for income equality in professional sports?

- Is anyone protesting for more whites to be included on black-dominated ball teams?
- Is anyone protesting because blacks are appropriating a game (basketball) that was invented in 1891 by James Naismith, a white man?
- Is anyone asking for reparations because not a single black man played pro basketball until Earl Lloyd stepped on the court in 1950?
- Is anyone advocating for “little people” to play basketball because, after all, they’re humans, too?
- Is it fair for players who contribute the least to the game to sit on the bench the most?

In sports, it was the performance of blacks, not their skin color, that led to great outcomes over the years.

Yet, nowadays when we click on the TV with COVID-weary, “protest”-exhausted brains to enjoy a dribble of sports bliss, we see pampered athletes pushing a one-sided racial inequality lie as if we’re still living in the ‘60s. And the leagues are not just playing defense on the race stuff anymore. They’re attacking!

Parched fans are being force-fed with the “Black National Anthem”; with teams locked in arms for “moments of unity”; and with players and coaches kneeling for the National Anthem on ballfields and basketball courts where glory-seeking multimillionaires switch out their game gear for jerseys “graf-fited” with Black Lives Matter propaganda.

“Blacks Lives Matter! 24! 7! Hut! Hut! Hike!”

The NFL snapped after the disturbing George Floyd video was looped on every media outlet around the world in late May. The video seemed to confirm what advocates of police brutality have been talking about for years. The NFL had been struggling with how to deal with Kaepernick-like protests during game time, which fans hated. But the Floyd video pushed the league over the cuckoo’s nest.

“It has been a difficult time for our country,” said NFL commissioner Roger Goodell in a video responding to Floyd’s death, June 2. “In particular, black people in our country . . . we, the National Football League, condemn racism and the systematic oppression of black people. We, the National Football League, admit that we were wrong for not listening to NFL players earlier and encourage all to speak out and peacefully protest. We, the National Football League, believe black lives matter . . . and the protests around the country is emblematic of the centuries of silence, inequality and oppression of black players, coaches, fans, and staff.”

But the original cell phone video that unleashed BLM hell onto America’s streets didn’t capture the whole picture. The world only saw the last few minutes of a much longer altercation.

- As unedited body cam footage trickled out, you saw Floyd resisting arrest while saying, “I’m not resisting!”

- You saw an older bystander pleading with Floyd to stop resisting. “You can’t win! You can’t win, bro! Go on and get in the [police] car!” he begged.
- You saw an already respiratory-depressed Floyd high on fentanyl repeating, “I can’t breathe” long before Derek Chauvin’s knee was on his neck.
- And we would later see Chauvin’s knee-to-neck restraint technique in the Minneapolis Police Department’s training manual under what the department calls the “Maximal Restraint Technique.”

While the uncut body cam video leaves little doubt that Chauvin’s knee was on Floyd’s neck for far too long, there was not an iota of evidence that Floyd’s death had anything to do with his skin color. Floyd’s behavior complicated things. Just as in most of the high-profile “police brutality” altercations, it was Floyd’s behavior that created the environment for bad things to happen.

Inequalities based on race, today, are minor and inconsequential. Inequalities rooted in performance and behavior are widespread, consequential, and inevitable.

Why? Because unequal performance produces unequal results.

The universal laws that govern the mastery of skills, growth and productivity are constant, colorblind and apply equally to everyone. The same core principles that makes great athletes, makes you great at anything in the game of life.

Life in the inner cities will never change until we stop excusing the bad behavior that produces mediocrity, poverty, and crime. On the skin color side, we are as equal as we’re going to be in America. It’s time for inner-city blacks to step up their games on the performance side.

If not, tyrannical loudmouths like LeBron James and Colin Kaepernick will continue squeezing the udders of racial inequality to milk it for all it’s worth. While mothers and their children in cities like Chicago continue to be terrorized by underdeveloped men who are repeating the bad behavior they’re rewarded for.



An article by Michael Brown titled “Armageddon and Abortion” was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 24, 2020. Following is the article.

It’s not often you hear the word “Armageddon” tossed around in DC politics, but it’s been the operative word when it comes to filling Justice Ginsburg’s seat. Why all this talk about an “Armageddon option?” Why references to “Apocalypse Now”? The answer can be summed up in one word: abortion. The battle for Ruth Bader Ginsburg’s seat on the Supreme Court is the battle over abortion.

There is no issue more volatile in America.

- Not race.
- Not religious freedom.

- Not immigration.
- Not the economy or foreign policy or LGBTQ activism or the Middle East.
- Not even COVID-19 is this volatile.

Nothing divides America like the debate over abortion. And nothing is more an issue of life and death.

Those who identify as pro-choice can point to many heartrending stories where abortion seems to be the compassionate option.

Your 12-year-old daughter is raped and abused by a serial criminal, only to find out that she is now pregnant. Must she now bear the shame and pain of carrying that child to full term? Must the reality of this horrific event shout out to her every day for months on end? Must she then give birth to a child who carries the DNA of a moral monster? And, even if she gives up the baby for adoption, for the rest of her life, she will remember that a child of hers is somewhere out there, in the world.

Isn't abortion the righteous and loving choice?

Or what if she was raped by her older brother?

Must she now carry the result of incest in her womb—perhaps with genetic defects?

Must she bring the result of a shameful union into the world?

Or what about a 44-year-old married woman in fragile health, mentally and physically?

To the shock of this woman and her husband, they learn that their birth control has failed and she is expecting again. Then, four months later, they learn that their baby has a severe handicap, likely to live at most 6-months to a year, and even then, needing constant medical attention and experiencing terrible pain.

Doesn't compassion call for a merciful termination of this baby while still in the womb?

And isn't this in the best interest of the mother?

These really are heartrending stories, and they make for compelling "pro-choice" arguments.

But the fact is that tragic cases like this make up the tiniest percentage of abortions. In fact, "Just 1% of women obtain an abortion because they became pregnant through rape, and less than 0.5% do so because of incest, according to the Guttmacher Institute." (Note that the Guttmacher Institute is itself "pro-choice").

National surveys indicate that only 3 percent of abortions take place because of "fetal health problems." And in Florida, where a reason must be given for every abortion, in 2018, only 0.27 percent of abortions—meaning one-quarter of one-percent—were performed because the woman's life was allegedly in danger.

If these statistics are accurate, then at least 95 percent of all abortions performed have nothing to do with rape, incest, the health of the fetus, or the health of the mother.

Most abortions are performed because a pregnancy would get in the way of the mother's career or education. Or there were financial concerns about caring for another child. Or the mother didn't feel ready to have a baby.

And for these reasons, a tiny life is terminated in its own mother's life-giving womb. A divinely-formed baby, with its own DNA and a beating heart, not to mention all kinds of destiny and potential, is sucked out of the womb. Or sliced up in the womb. Or burned up in the womb.

That's why abortion workers who have looked at jars marked "POC (standing for the Products of Conception) have wept on my radio show as they came to grips with what they have done.

(In contrast, one website describes a D&E abortion in these cold medical terms, where the human being is merely tissue: "The cannula is attached by tubing to a bottle and a pump that provides a gentle vacuum to remove tissue in the uterus").

Stop for a moment, click on this link, and look at these images of aborted babies (warning: graphic content). (I especially urge you to do this if you consider yourself pro-choice).

And then multiply these images by more than 60 million. And then ask yourself, "Is this what it means 'to remove tissue in the uterus'?"

That is the carnage of America's abortion obsession. That is the carnage of almost 50-years of Roe v. Wade.

That is the carnage celebrated by New York State, when legislators cheered after passing a law allowing for abortions through the end of the 9th month.

And that is the carnage defended by the women who scratched and clawed at the Supreme Court doors during the Kavanaugh hearings.

That's why I wrote the following in 2018.

■ "And what would happen if Roe v. Wade was overturned? What would happen if 'abortion rights' were severely restricted (or entirely removed) from state after state? All hell would break loose on the streets. Fierce protests would arise. Things would get ugly overnight—and by ugly, I mean very ugly."

And this, again in 2018.

■ "What happens if Roe v. Wade gets overturned? What can we expect? We can expect fury. We can expect feces. We can expect vitriol. We can expect vandalism. We can expect violence. Watch this short video of antifa and other leftists stealing and destroying pro-life signs in a Boston park. Then ask yourself: What happens when it's not just pro-life signs that are the issue? What happens when pro-life laws are the issue? What then?"

That's why there is talk about "Armageddon" and "Apocalypse." That's why the battle over Ginsburg's seat is so fierce.

It is the battle between life and death, and something tragic happens to a nation that chooses death over life.

Thankfully, abortions have been steadily decreasing in America for a good number of years. But that only means that the carnage has decreased from numbers as high as 1,360,000 abortions in 1996 to 862,000 in 2017. (Yes, chew on that: less than 900,000 babies terminated in their mother's wombs is considered to be progress).

As stated on LifeNews.com in 2019, "Though abortion rates have been dropping in the past decade, abortion remains the leading cause of death in the United States"—and this is the case in the rest of the world as well. (According to LifeNews, "Abortion Was the Leading Cause of Death Worldwide in 2019, Killing 42 Million People")

We really are in a literal battle of life and death here in America, a battle of epic proportions. May we choose life. May life prevail. May the spirit of death be vanquished.



Looking back to August, an article by Marc Newman titled "Recanting My Position on Trump" was posted at townhall.com on Aug. 13, 2020. Following is the article.

In 2016 I couldn't vote for Donald Trump. I wrote about it. When people asked me about Trump vs. Clinton I responded, "You can't trust either one of them."

When Trump claimed a pro-life platform, I didn't believe he'd follow through. I made a bet with my friend, Troy Newman (no relation), president of Operation Rescue and an ardent Trump supporter.

If three standards were met, I would publicly recant my position. Trump would have to: reinstate the Mexico City Policy, place judges willing to overturn Roe v. Wade on the Supreme Court, and defund Planned Parenthood.

I didn't get everything I wanted.

The Mexico City Policy was a softball. Any Republican president would reinstate the policy that keeps the U.S. from exporting abortion abroad. Trump did so right away.

Most pro-life President

The appointment of pro-life judges continues to be uncertain, but I believe that if given an opportunity to overturn Roe v. Wade, the new appointees would do so, particularly if they could secure a 6-3 vote. And if the vote looked like 5-4 without his vote, even Chief Justice Roberts would vote with the majority and make it 6-3.

Defunding Planned Parenthood didn't happen, but not because of Trump. It failed because two Republican senators, Lisa Murkowski and Susan Collins, voted "no" when the measure came up.

■ Forced now to reconsider, my conclusion is that Trump has been the most pro-life president in my lifetime—including Ronald Reagan, who said many of the right things but accomplished relatively little.

■ In virtually every area—both in word and deed—Trump has delivered for his pro-life constituents and, by extension, to innumerable innocent human beings in the womb who otherwise would have died.

■ Trump's policy position has been to oppose legislation that attempts to advance abortion.

Vice-President Mike Pence recently visited a pregnancy help clinic and, in 2019, addressed the March for Life.

In 2020, Trump became the first president in U.S. history to address the March for Life—not via video, but in person.

Trump's administration stood against the United Nation's move to promote abortion.

Though Trump did not completely defund Planned Parenthood, he allowed states to remove \$60 million in Title X funding and Planned Parenthood's access to Medicaid money. While this figure represents only about 10% of the annual federal funding for the world's most notorious member of the abortion industry, given the chance, I believe Trump would entirely defund Planned Parenthood.

He simply needs a Republican House and enough brave Republican senators to forward the bill to his desk.

Abortion on demand

With Democrat Party presidential nominee Joe Biden's pick of Senator Kamala Harris on August 11, the Democrats have—once again—demonstrated the opposite commitment.

The Democrat Party has moved far from the position articulated (though never actually promoted) by Bill Clinton, that abortion should be "safe, legal, and rare."

The Biden-Harris ticket will promote the new slogan of the abortion-choice movement: "Abortion on demand and without apology."

They are completely in thrall to NARAL Pro-Choice America, The National Abortion Federation, and Planned Parenthood.

Under a Biden presidency, the United States would have the lamentable distinction as the world's biggest exporter of abortion. Even meager restrictions on abortion, including prohibitions on federal funding, would be swept away.

Don't like everything

Like many Americans, Donald Trump's public persona often grates on me.

I also understand that Trump supports rape and incest exceptions on abortion legislation. I pray his position is malleable. People close to him should explain

the schizophrenia of believing that the circumstances of one's conception should be the determining factor regarding whether a human being has a right to life.

Clear contrast

In 2016 I had no reason to believe that Trump would make good on his pro-life promises. But today I can evaluate a four-year track record.

And compared with Joe Biden and Kamala Harris' known policy positions, the choice is clear.

Huge issue

Some might argue that this makes me a "single-issue" voter. Please. Everyone is a single-issue voter when the policy at stake is sufficiently significant.

It's wrong to intentionally kill an innocent human being. Abortion intentionally kills an innocent human being. The only conclusion we can reach is that abortion is wrong. Dead wrong.

Protecting the innocent

The primary responsibility of government is to protect the most innocent and vulnerable from direct attack. Joe Biden rejects that obligation regarding human beings in the womb. President Trump's administration has demonstrated fidelity to it.

He deserves support. He'll have mine in November.



An article by Greg Brophy titled "The Second Most Important Election of Your Lifetime" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 24, 2020. Following is the article.

People often claim that the current election, whichever one it may be, is "the most important election of your lifetime." That's always a fairly accurate statement—mostly because it is the only one you can have an immediate impact on.

But this one, November 2020, is different. I would even argue that it is only the second most important election of your lifetime: the first was in 2016, and this one—like the election in 1864—only settles the deal made four years previously.

I am a fan of a particular book, *The Fourth Turning* by Neil Howe and William Strauss, originally published in 1996. The authors make the case that every 80 years or so a major crisis is brought to a head, with 20 years of tumult known as a "fourth turning" leading up to the critical point where the crisis must be resolved.

Hopefully, it is solved in a positive manner culminating in stability until the next "fourth turning." According to their original theory, the modern-day crisis was to start somewhere around 2005, and it has since been theorized that the 2008 recession heralded the beginning of the two-decade crisis period.

The period around 1860—160 years ago—was one of these “fourth turnings,” as was the period including the Great Depression and World War II, 80 years ago.

All of the markers of a crisis period as described in the book are present: a lack of faith in institutions, an economic collapse, political leaders who are much more demagogic in their behavior, leaders aggravating public pressure, and ultimately a long partisan tug of war that will be fully and finally settled (often including a political realignment).

Which begs the question: what major crisis is currently brewing?

Personally, I think it is the fundamental question of socialism versus free-market capitalism. Control by the few for the benefit of the few (which includes both socialism and crony capitalism), versus control by the many for the benefit of the many. Socialism versus capitalism has become a heated debate, central to both the 2016 and 2020 presidential elections.

Into this fray came Donald Trump—and for that matter, Bernie Sanders. Trump, for all of his faults, has recognized this problem of socialism versus capitalism since the 80s. He doesn’t approach it like a professor of cultural studies; he speaks like a construction worker (or farmer or factory worker) about politicians making stupid deals that hurt regular Americans, about regulations that kill business and the jobs those businesses create. He talks about our leaders getting us into wars without end, and about the rest of the world taking advantage of us. He has been remarkably consistent on these themes since the 1980s.

The election in 2016 was between Trump and a continuation of the status quo. Between bringing opportunity back to regular Americans or more lopsided trade deals, wars without end, and more control by Washington D.C. regulators. It was a battle for opportunity for regular people, especially those in the areas most devastated by decades of trade deals that favored the rest of the world over every American who wasn’t in finance, technology, or entertainment—in other words, all of middle America.

And Trump won.

The insiders who had power for decades started fighting back immediately.

By the way, the same thing happened in 1860—they tried to assassinate Abraham Lincoln on his way to the inauguration!

They were relatively easier on Trump; they used all of the power of the state (and most of the media) to try to delegitimize his presidency with the ultimate goal of having him removed from office. Nice try—they failed.

In spite of all their efforts, Trump delivered. He is in the process of rebuilding America as a country of opportunity for the forgotten men and women of fly-over country. From new trade deals to regulatory relief, he has delivered on his promises. Whether in trade or mutual defense, no other country is taking advantage of the US. He said he would appoint judges who would protect our Constitution and he has. No more endless wars; he is the first president in forty years to not start a war.

But just like in 1864, the deal isn't done yet.

In the summer of 1864, it looked like Lincoln would be defeated by one of the generals that he had fired, Democrat George McClellan. Had McClellan won, it is widely believed that he would have accepted a peace deal with the South and the United States would not exist as we know it today.

For that matter, the whole world would be different. There would have been no nation strong enough to stand up to both Germany and Japan 80 years later. See, a Fourth Turning is indeed a big deal. Fortunately, due to the successes of Sherman and Sheridan in Atlanta and the Shenandoah Valley, respectively, prior to the election, Lincoln cruised into November with momentum in the war on his side, and the United States remained united.

This summer, the media ensured us that Trump would lose the election. I do not think that is the case; Trump already has the clear victories that he said he would win. A new trade deal with Japan where they will buy our agricultural products without tariffs for the first time ever.

A much better agreement with Mexico and Canada to prevent Europeans circumventing the rules to gain an advantage over us. He stood up to China, the first American president to recognize the threat they pose, and he made NATO pay its fair share. He cut silly, onerous regulations at a breakneck pace, replacing them with smart, modern regulations that achieve desired goals without crushing entrepreneurs.

In short, he did what he said he would do: he accomplished vital goals that resonated with the forgotten men and women of fly-over country.

Just like the election in 1864, if this one goes the wrong way a die will be cast that will change America forever, and likely the rest of the world.

Think about what the world today and history would look like if Lincoln had not won: not only would there not have existed a country to save the world from Japan and Germany, but one of the best presidents in our history would likely be remembered as one of the worst.

Had Lincoln not been re-elected, he would be remembered as the president who lost the Union, who shredded the constitution by suspending the writ of habeas corpus, and as the president who arrested most of the Maryland State Legislature to keep them from voting to secede. His actions would have been remembered as dreadful mistakes, not drastic but necessary measures that preserved the country we live in today.

And like 1864, the path we would go down with the Democrats would be a path to a future that is less bright. A future with fewer opportunities for Americans; one that would likely be dominated by China rather than America.

McClellan clearly did not want to defeat the South and win the Civil War, and Biden clearly does not think China is a threat.

This election will most likely settle the question of how we will live for the next 80 years, until the next Fourth Turning. Just like Lincoln in 1860, it all started when

an unlikely champion of the people won an improbable victory and became president of the greatest nation on earth at an incredibly important turning point.

It is second, in your lifetime, only to the election of 2016.



“Eye on the World” comment: The following list of articles consists of headlines of extra articles, which involve the United States. The articles were not posted, but the headlines give the essence of the story.

Deficit

■ The federal debt of \$26,798,895,000 was posted at usdebtclock.org on Sept. 24, 2020.

Federal reserve

■ An article by Jay Heflin titled “[Federal Reserve Chairman] Jerome Powell: Economy Will Recover Faster If Congress Passes Additional Relief” was posted at washingtonexaminer.com on Sept. 22, 2020.

News about the media

■ Looking back to August, an article by Charlie Spiering titled “Donald Trump: ‘My Biggest Opponent’ Is ‘Corrupt Media,’ Not Joe Biden” was posted at breitbart.com on Aug. 17, 2020.

■ An article by Brianna Kraemer titled “Diamond and Silk Call Biden ‘Jim Crow Joe,’ Suggests Left Will Do Anything to Destroy Trump” was posted at justthenews.com on Sept. 17, 2020.

■ An article by Robby Starbuck titled “Why Aren’t We Allowed to Talk About George Soros’s Plan to Remake America?” was posted on thefederalist.com on Sept. 19, 2020.

■ An article by Jordan Davidson titled “Twitter Labels Tucker Carlson’s Exposé on George Soros As ‘Sensitive Content’ ” was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 22, 2020.

■ An article by Robert Kraychik titled “Eric Eggers: George Soros Funding Efforts for Voting Loopholes and Get-Out-The-Vote Campaigns” was posted at breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.

■ An article by Chris Talgo titled “I’ve Taught High School Students Who Know the Constitution Better Than Don Lemon Does” was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

■ An article by Peter Weber titled “Democrats Must Make the GOP Pay for Its Supreme Court Seat, and McConnell Can Help; MSNBC’s Chris Hayes Says” was posted at theweek.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

- An article by Gabriel Hayes titled "You Got a Problem With 'Child Sexuality'? Media Defend Child-Exploiting 'Cuties' Movie" was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 10, 2020.
- An article by Kyle Drennen titled "NBC and ABC Mourn Inability of Dems to Stop Trump Court Pick" was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Brad Wilmoth titled "Brian Williams [of MSNBC] Sees 'Patriotism' in [Susan Collins and Lisa Murkowski] Opposing Trump Court Pick; Mocks HHS As Not 'Credible' " was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Gabriel Hays titled " 'West Wing' Reunion Will Double As Government Public Service Announcement [to Encourage Democrat Voters], Featuring Michelle Obama and Bill Clinton" was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Nicholas Fondacaro titled "Tucker [Carlson of Fox News] Torches CNN, MSNBC for 'Encouraging' Riots and Spreading Lies" was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Nicholas Fondacaro titled "Marching With Antifa, CNN Claims Not 'Peaceful' But Not 'Violent' " was posted at newsbusters.org on Sept. 24, 2020.

Tragedies of lock-down

- Looking back to July, an article by John Loftus titled "Lockdown Suicides on the Rise" was posted at nationalreview.com on July 30, 2020.

Finances

- An article by Tyler Durden titled "Amazon Keeps Spreading Across America, Plans 1,000 Warehouses in Suburban Neighborhoods" was posted at zerohedge.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article by Aisha Al-Muslim titled "Consumers Take Retailers to Court Over Unused Gift Cards" was posted at wsj.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Bernadette Hogan titled "Almost 90 Percent of NYC Bars and Restaurants Couldn't Pay August Rent" was posted at nypost.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Daniella Genovese titled "Walmart to Hire 20,000 Workers for Holiday Rush" was posted at foxbusiness.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Daniel Payne titled "Insurance Costs From Riots and Unrest Could Equal Cost of Category 2 Hurricane Sally" was posted at justthenews.com on Sept. 24, 2020.

Stimulus checks

- An article by Jazmin Goodwin titled "Mark Cuban Calls for \$1,000 Stimulus Checks Every Two Weeks Through November" was posted at cnn.com on Sept. 24, 2020.

Green New Deal

- Looking back to 2019, an article by Adam Shaw titled "AOC's Top Aide Admits Green New Deal About the Economy, Not Climate" was posted at foxnews.com on July 12, 2019.
- An article by Jack Montgomery titled "So Much for 'Green Jobs': Wind Turbine Manufacturing Work Goes to Communist China" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article titled "California to Ban Sale of New Gasoline-Powered Cars by 2035" was posted at radio.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by John Stossel titled "Bad Policies Fuel Fires" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

Coronavirus and civil liberties

- An article by Susan Jones titled "Biden Would Instruct Governors, Mayors to Mandate Mask-Wearing: 'We Could Have a Fine' " was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Georgi Boorman titled "Mask Fanatics Have Officially Abandoned Science to Control Your Life" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 22, 2020.

Coronavirus and religion

- An article by Cortney O'Brien titled "Pelosi on Why Churches Can't Fully Open: Faith and Science Sometimes Counter Each Other" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Ezra Dulis titled "Idaho Police Arrest 3 at Outdoor Church Worship Event in Defiance of Mask Mandate" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 24, 2020.

Coronavirus and sports

- An article titled "Unmasked: NFL Fines Coaches, Teams for Not Covering Faces" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.

Protests and sports

- An article by Warner Todd Huston titled "Flashback: Ginsburg on Kaepernick Protests: 'I Think It's Dumb and Disrespectful'" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Dylan Gwinn titled "Michael Jordan Starts NASCAR Team Featuring Bubba Wallace" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 21, 2020.

Comments about police "misconduct"

- An article by Crystal Hill titled "Texas Police Officer Charged in Death of Pamela Turner, a Black Woman Whose Killing Was Captured on Video" was posted at yahoo.com on Sept. 17, 2020.

■ An article by Zack Linly titled "4 Louisiana Police Officers Charged in the Death of 44-Year-Old Black Man Who Suffered From Mental Health Issues" was posted at yahoo.com on Sept. 19, 2020.

■ An article by Keydra Manns titled "Wisconsin Black Man Falsely Arrested at His Own Home Sues City [of Monona]" was posted at yahoo.com on Sept. 21, 2020.

Illegal immigration

■ An article by Camilo Montoya-Galvez titled "ICE Arrests 2,000 Immigrants in Largest Sweep of the Pandemic" was posted at cbsnews.com on Sept. 1, 2020.

Comments about weapons

■ An article by Lori Roman titled "In Leftist Land, Who Will Protect You?" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 24, 2020.

Comments about Mail-In Ballots

■ Looking back to June, an article by Erica Werner titled "Treasury Sent More Than 1 Million Coronavirus Stimulus Payments to Dead People, Congressional Watchdog [Government Accountability Office] Finds" was posted at washingtonpost.com on June 25, 2020. [Just as dead people receive government checks, dead people can also receive government mail-in ballots.]

■ Looking back to August, an article by Katie Pavlich titled "Fauci: There's No Reason People Can't Vote Safely in Person" was posted at townhall.com on Aug. 14, 2020.

■ An article by Kyle Olson titled "Report Finds Nearly 350,000 Dead Voters Still on Rolls, Double-Voters 'Casting Multiple Ballots' " was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 19, 2020.

■ An article by John Solomon titled "Democrat Tulsi Gabbard Says Voter Fraud 'Serious Threat,' Seeks to Outlaw Ballot Harvesting" was posted at justthenews.com on Sept. 21, 2020.

■ An article by Sophie Mann titled "Republicans to Take Pennsylvania Mail-In Voting Case to Supreme Court" was posted at justthenews.com on Sept. 22, 2020.

■ An article by Joshua Caplan titled "U.S. Attorney: Military Ballots, Cast for Trump, Found Discarded in Pennsylvania" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 24, 2020.

Comments about Trump support

■ An article by Christopher Barron titled "If He Is Ahead on Election Night, President Trump Should Declare Victory [Gaining the Advantages From the 2000 Election]" was posted at thepoliticalinsider.com on Sept. 18, 2020.

■ An article by Meredith Cash titled "Betsy DeVos and the Trump Administration Are Set to Deny Funding to Connecticut Schools Over Inclusive Transgender Athletic Policies" was posted at BusinessInsider.com on Sept. 18, 2020.

- An article by Cortney O'Brien titled "Rep. Gohmert Starts Digging Into Who Is Paying for BLM Protesters' Travel" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Susan Jones titled "Sen. Cruz: Americans Elected Trump Because 'They Wanted Principled Constitutionalists on the Court' " was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Christopher Jacobs titled "Census Report Shows How Jaw-Dropping Trump's Economy Was Before Shutdowns" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Kyle Morris titled "RNC Launches Voter Registration Website to Assist Hispanics" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Bruce Vielmetti titled "AG William Barr in Milwaukee Announces Takedown of Major Drug Trafficking Ring" was posted at usatoday.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Ryan Morgan titled "US Marshals Arrest 141 Gang Members, Rescue 5 Missing Kids in Oklahoma Operation" was posted at americanmilitarynews.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Madeline Osburn titled "Trump to Sign 'Born Alive' Executive Order, Protecting Premature Babies and Abortion Survivors" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Timothy Daughtry titled "Trump's Directive on Critical Race Theory Affirms Martin Luther King Jr.'s Vision" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

Kamala Harris

- Looking back to August, an article by Samuel Smith titled "Biden Taps Kamala Harris As Running Mate; 'Most Pro-Abortion Ticket' in US History, SBA [Susan B. Anthony] List Says" was posted at christianpost.com on Aug. 11, 2020.
- Looking back to August, an article by Madeline Osburn titled "Four Years Later, Planned Parenthood Whistleblower [David Daleiden] Still Trapped in Kamala Harris's Persecution" was posted at thefederalist.com on Aug. 20, 2020.
- Looking back to August, an article by Ryan Foley titled "David Daleiden [Prominent Pro-Life Activist] Slams Kamala Harris VP Nomination: 'Everyone Should Be Afraid' " was posted at christianpost.com on Aug. 21, 2020.
- An article by Micaiah Bilger titled "A Vote for Joe Biden Is Really a Vote for Radical Abortion Activist Kamala Harris" was posted at lifenews.com on Sept. 15, 2020.
- An article by Craig Bannister titled "Trump Calls It 'Great News' That Kamala Harris Will Lead Opposition to SCOTUS Confirmation" was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Matt Vespa titled "So What's With This Wife Beater Bail Out Fund Kamala Harris Promoted for Months?" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 23, 2020.

Comments about Trump opposition

- An article by Oliver O'Connell titled "Package Addressed to Trump Containing Poison Ricin Intercepted by Law Enforcement" was posted at independent.co.uk on Sept. 20, 2020.
- An article by Adrian Humphreys and Michelle Lalonde titled "Montreal Woman Accused of Sending Poisonous Letter to Donald Trump Says She Sent Nine in Total to Various Targets: Police" was posted at nationalpost.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by David Aaro titled "Secret Service Involved in Arrest of 2 in Virginia Allegedly Carrying Backpack With Gun and Ammo Near Trump Rally: Report" was posted at foxnews.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Joel B. Pollak titled "Nancy Pelosi: Trump Told People to 'Swallow Clorox' " was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 24, 2020.
- An article by CNSNews Staff titled "Pelosi: 'The Three Most Important Issues Facing Our Country and Congress; Our Children, Our Children, Our Children' [Says a Democratic Leader Who Supports Abortion]" was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 3, 2020.
- An article by CNSNews Staff titled "Nancy Pelosi: 'When You Start Flirting With Endangering Our Children, They're Fighting Words' [Says a Democratic Leader Who Supports Abortion]" was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by CNSNews.com Staff titled "Nancy Pelosi: 'We Are Here to Honor the God Who Made Us by Honoring His Children' [Says a Democratic Leader Who Supports Abortion]" was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Daniel Maria Klimek titled "Other Policies Don't Morally Outweigh the Democrat Party's Support for Murder" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 15, 2020.
- An article by Mary Margaret Oldhan titled "Joe Biden Is So Radically Pro-Abortion, Catholic Group Calls Him a 'Threat' to Unborn Babies" was posted at lifenews.com on Sept. 15, 2020.
- An article by Michael W. Chapman titled "Catholic Cardinal: 'It's Better to Vote for a Good Protestant Than a Bad Catholic' " was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Virginia Allen titled "1776 Unites Curriculum Rejects 1619 Project's Victimhood Narrative" was posted at dailysignal.com on Sept. 16, 2020.
- An article by Susan Jones titled "Biden on His Plan to Get Americans Back to Work: 'I Won't Bore You With the Details' " was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Gino Spocchia titled " 'It's Like Hitler Youth': Trump Attacked Over Plans for 'Patriotic Education' " was posted at independent.co.uk on Sept. 18, 2020.

- An article by Leah Barkoukis titled "BLM Indoctrination Enters 4th Grade Classroom" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Emily Jashinsky titled "BLM and '1619 Project' Scrub Radical Beliefs From Their Websites" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Brittany Bernstein titled "Black Lives Matter Removes Language About Disrupting the Nuclear Family From Website" was posted at nationalreview.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Peter Burfeind titled "Why Black Lives Matter Only Empowers Racist White Leftists" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 24, 2020.
- An article by Hannah Bleau titled "Portland Protesters Threaten to Burn Down Home for Displaying an American Flag" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Penny Starr titled "Portland Sheriff Cites Tear Gas Ban for Standing Down on Policing Protests: 'No Sound Tactical Options' " was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 24, 2020.
- An article by Jordan Davidson titled "Seattle City Council Overrules Mayor to Pass Bill in Support of Defunding the Police" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Jordan Davidson titled "Weekend Shootings in Chicago and Rochester Continue Alarming Trend of Urban Violence" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by AWR Hawkins titled "Homicides Surge Toward 50-Year High in Mayor Lyda Krewson's St. Louis" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Zachary Evans titled "Communist Organizers Arrested After Allegedly Barricading Officers Inside Aurora Police Department" was posted at nationalreview.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Julio Rosas titled "BLM Activist Records Herself Berating CVS Manager [in Washington D.C.] Because He Called Police on Shoplifters" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Matt Palumbo titled "New Yorkers Flee for Florida" was posted at bongino.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Guy Benson titled "Biden: Trump Could Have Stopped Every Single Coronavirus Death, You Know" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Patrick Goodenough titled "Biden: 'Imagine If He [Trump] Had Said Something' About Virus in State of the Union (He Did)" was posted at CNSNews.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Tristan Justice titled "Joe Biden Botches Pledge of Allegiance in Wisconsin" was posted at thefederalist.com on Sept. 21, 2020.

- An article by Craig Bannister titled "Biden on Covid-19's Death Toll in United States: 'It's Estimated That 200 Million [Instead of Thousand] People Have Died' " was posted at cnsnews.com on Sept. 21, 2020.
- An article by Matt Vespa titled "Did Biden Really Just Rehash the Story That Torched His 1988 Presidential Hopes Last Night?" was posted at townhall.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Joel B. Pollak titled "Left: Trump Equals Hitler for Saying 'Good Genes': Obama Used Same Phrase" was posted at Breitbart.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Dominick Mastrangelo titled "Bloomberg Pays Fines for 32,000 Felons in Florida So They Can Vote" was posted at thehill.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article titled "Bloomberg Pumps \$16 Million to Restore Felon Voting Rights in Florida" was posted at thenationalpulse.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Ryan Saavedra titled "Florida AG Refers Bloomberg to FBI for Criminal Investigation After He Pledged to Pay Felons' Fines So They Can Vote in Apparent Attempt to Boost Biden" was posted at dailywire.com on Sept. 23, 2020.
- An article by Bobby Burack titled "LeBron [James] Actively Looking to Pay Convicted Felons Fines [in Florida] to Vote in Election" was posted at outkick.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Louis Casiano titled "Report: 92% of College Faculty Members' Political Donations in This Battleground State [Ohio] Went to Democrats" was posted at foxbusiness.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- A video and an article by Reagan McCarthy titled "Watch: Dem Senate Candidate in Georgia [Raphael Warnock] Referred to Police As 'Gangsters,' 'Thugs,' and 'Bullies' " were posted at townhall.com on Sept. 22, 2020.
- An article by Jill Ishkanian titled " 'Defund the Police' Activist Alyssa Milano Calls 911 Sparking Massive Police Presence in Her Quiet California Neighborhood Claiming a Gunman Was on Her Property—But It Was Really a Teen Shooting at Squirrels With an Air Gun" was posted at dailymail.co.uk on Sept. 22, 2020.

General interest

- An article by Bill Doyle titled "102-Year-Old New Hampshire Woman [Mildred Geraldine Schappals] Survives Both COVID-19 and the 1918 Spanish Flu" was posted at usatoday.com on Sept. 20, 2020.
- An article by Katie Camero titled "CDC Again Changes Covid-19 Guidelines; Now Asymptomatic People Need a Test" was posted at miamiherald.com on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article by Chris Woodyard titled "Southern California Jolted by Magnitude 4.5 Earthquake, Another Worry After Raging Wildfires" was posted at usatoday.com on Sept. 19, 2020.

- An article by James Crump titled "Michigan Residents Urged to Stay Indoors As Scientists Race to Deal With Threat of Rare Mosquito-Born Disease" was posted at independent.co.uk on Sept. 18, 2020.
- An article titled "Walmart and Amazon Donate to QAnon-Promoting [Tennessee State] Lawmaker" was posted at yahoo.com on Sept. 19, 2020.
- An article by Chris Melore titled "Average American Recorded by Security Cameras 238 Times Each Week, Study Shows" was posted at studyfinds.org on Sept. 23, 2020.
- Looking back to 2012, an article by Doug Matanconis titled "Ruth Bader Ginsburg to Egypt: Don't Use Our Constitution As a Guide" was posted at outsidethebeltway.com on Feb. 3, 2012.
- Looking back to 2012, an article by Joshua Keating titled "Why Does Ruth Bader Ginsburg Like the South African Constitution So Much? [Complimenting the Abortion Rights, Government Health Care and Income Distribution]" was posted at foreignpolicy.com on Feb. 6, 2012.



Isaiah 55:6-11—"Seek you the LORD while He may be found, call upon Him while He is near. Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; let him return to the LORD, and He will have mercy on him; and to our God, for He will abundantly pardon. 'For My thoughts are not your thoughts, nor are your ways My ways,' says the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are My ways higher than your ways, and My thoughts than your thoughts. For as the rain comes down, and the snow from heaven, and do not return there, but water the earth, and make it bring forth and bud, that it may give seed to the sower and bread to the eater, so shall My word be that goes forth from My mouth; it shall not return to Me void, but it shall accomplish what I please, and it shall prosper in the thing for which I sent it."