# Eye on the World June 5, 2021

This compilation of material for "Eye on the World" is presented as a service to the Churches of God. The views stated in the material are those of the writers or sources quoted by the writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the members of the Church of God Big Sandy. The following articles were posted at churchofgodbigsandy.com for the weekend of June 5, 2021.

# **Compiled by Dave Havir**

*Luke 21:34-36*—"But take heed to yourselves, lest your souls be weighed down with self-indulgence, and drunkenness, or the anxieties of this life, and that day come on you suddenly, like a falling trap; for it will come on all dwellers on the face of the whole earth. But beware of slumbering; and every moment pray that you may be fully strengthened to escape from all these coming evils, and to take your stand in the presence of the Son of Man" (Weymouth New Testament).

## $\star$ $\star$ $\star$ $\star$

"Eye on the World" comment: This edition will be much shorter than usual due to two factors concerning the publisher's schedule. First—because he left on a business trip, this edition was completed on June 2, meaning it does not have the latest news items. Second—because of his busy schedule prior to his trip, he was not able to organize the dozens of headlines that he enjoys collecting for the readers.

#### $\star \star \star \star \star$

An article by Terence P. Jeffrey titled "Biden Budget: \$14.5 Trillion in Deficits Over Next 10 Years" was posted at cnsnews.com on May 28, 2021. Following is the article.

The budget proposal that President Joe Biden released today calls for the federal government to run a cumulative deficit of \$14.53 trillion (\$14,531,000,-000,000) over the next ten years.

Under Biden's proposal, the lowest the deficit would ever go in the next decade is in 2027, when it would hit \$1,303,000,000,000.

The proposal says that it aims to reduce deficits starting a decade from now-rather than in the next ten years.

Biden, who is 78 years old, could serve as long as eight years as president if he is elected to a second term.

"The full set of proposals in the Budget reduce the annual deficit by the end of the 10-year budget window and every year thereafter," says the budget. "In the second decade, the Budget's proposals reduce deficits by over \$2 trillion."

"A Budget that added to long-term deficits would worsen fiscal health, while a Budget that reduced deficits today by underinvesting in the American people would result in slower, more stratified growth that would cause more damage than one that invests appropriately," it says.

"The Budget," it says, "responsibly balances those needs and risks by charting an economically and fiscally sound course for the near term and the long term."

According to Table S-1 in Biden's budget, the deficit will be 3,669,000,000,-000 this fiscal year. It will then drop over the next six years to hit a low of 1,300,000,000,000 in fiscal 2027. In fiscal 2028, it will rise to 1,424,000,-000,000; then it will drop to 1,307,000,000,000 in fiscal 2029. But, in fiscal 2030, it will rise again to 1,477,000,000,000; and in fiscal 2031, it will rise to 1,531,000,000.

 $\star \star \star \star \star$ 

An article by Terence P. Jeffrey titled "Biden Plans to Reduce the Deficit—in 2030" was posted at cnsnews.com on June 2, 2021. Following is the article.

Americans, President Joe Biden's budget proposal suggests, should not worry that he plans to significantly increase federal deficits over the next eight years.

Why? Because he intends to begin reducing them—but not eliminating them —nine years from now.

Of course, even if the now 78-year-old Biden were to run for reelection in 2024 and win the presidency again, he would be out of office in nine years.

Page 26 of Biden's budget proposal carries a profoundly ironic subhead. It says: "Putting the Nation on a Fiscally Responsible Path."

"The Budget charts a fiscally responsible path for delivering a stronger, more prosperous economy," it says.

"In response to the Nation's longer-term fiscal challenges, the Budget's proposals would reduce the deficit in later years," it explains.

In "later years"? What does that mean?

"Over the long term, the United States does face fiscal challenges," the Biden budget admits.

"There is also uncertainty about the interest rate outlook."

But it would be a mistake to reduce federal deficits any time soon, Biden's budget argues.

"A Budget that added to the long-term deficits would worsen fiscal health, while a Budget that reduced deficits today by underinvesting in the American people would result in slower, more stratified growth that would cause more damage than one that invests appropriately," it says.

"The Budget responsibly balances these needs and risks by charting an economically and fiscally sound course for the near term and the long term."

In plain English: Biden plans to increase the projected federal deficit every year he is in office and then leave it to a successor to do something about an ever-increasing federal debt.

This plan is exposed by the actual numbers the administration published in its budget proposal.

Page 38 of Biden's proposal contains a table under the headline: "Effect of Budget Proposals on Projected Deficits." It shows the baseline of projected deficits from fiscal 2022 through fiscal 2031 and compares these to the resulting deficits in the 2022 budget.

Under Biden's proposal, according to this table, the federal government will run a cumulative deficit of \$14.531 trillion in the 10 fiscal years from 2022 to 2031.

In the first eight of these years (2022 through 2029), the annual deficits resulting from Biden's proposal are projected to be greater than the deficits that would occur under the "baseline." In fiscal 2022, for example, the baseline deficit is \$1.719 trillion. But Biden's proposal would increase that by \$118 billion to \$1.837 trillion.

By 2026—two years into a second hypothetical term for Biden—the baseline deficit would be \$1.115 trillion, but Biden's proposal would increase it by \$299 billion to \$1.414 trillion.

Under Biden's plan, it would not be until 2030—a year after a hypothetically twice-elected Biden had left office in January 2029—that the deficit caused by his proposed budget (\$1.477 trillion) would be less than the deficit in the projected baseline (\$1.517 trillion).

In fiscal 2031, the baseline deficit would increase to \$1.660 trillion. But in Biden's proposal, it would only increase to \$1.568 trillion.

Bottom line: Biden's vision of a "fiscally responsible path" would increase the deficit above the projected baseline for eight straight years and have the government run a cumulative deficit of \$14.531 trillion over 10 years.

But the administration would pat itself on the back for finally posting deficits that are less than the projected baseline—in the first two years after it has left office (if Biden were to serve two terms).

At the end of this May, the total federal debt was \$28.199 trillion. As reported on page 61 (in a table headlined "Federal Government Financing and Debt"), the Biden budget proposal anticipates the debt will climb to \$30.226 trillion by the end of this fiscal year and \$44.846 trillion by the end of fiscal 2031.

This is what the Biden administration calls a "fiscally responsible path."

Yet, there is something worse. "President Biden's budget proposal," reports National Public Radio, "fulfills a campaign promise to remove a longstanding ban on federal funding for most abortions known as the Hyde Amendment."

If Biden gets his way, the federal government will force Americans—through their tax payments—to fund abortions.

In Biden's vision for America, the federal government will pay for the killing of some innocent unborn babies and place an ever-increasing burden of debt on the children who survive.

#### $\star$ $\star$ $\star$ $\star$ $\star$

An article by Star Parker titled "Destroying Black Babies and Families With Federal Dollars" was posted at townhall.com on June 2, 2021. Following is the article.

If there is one reason why problems associated with race in America persist, it is because we pretend to address problems caused by one sin by exchanging them with other sins.

President Biden has just issued a proclamation recognizing 100 years since the race massacre that occurred in the Greenwood District in Tulsa, Okla., in 1921.

Greenwood was a wealthy black neighborhood, a center of black business, known as Black Wall Street, that was ravaged in two days of rioting by white racists. It resulted in the death of hundreds and the loss of property of thousands.

It is indeed another tragic and painful memory of race relations in our nation's history.

The proclamation issued by President Biden states, "The Federal Government must reckon with and acknowledge the role that it has played in stripping wealth and opportunity from black communities."

I totally agree. What offends me is that Biden's administration enthusiastically continues federal policies that damage black communities, while it pretends it is addressing the problems.

As a starter, let us consider that at the same time the president issued this proclamation, he sent an unprecedented \$6 trillion federal budget to Congress that, for the first time ever, omits the longstanding Hyde Amendment.

The Hyde Amendment, passed in 1976 and named for its sponsor, Illinois Rep. Henry Hyde, prohibits use of federal funds to pay for abortion, except in cases of rape or incest or when the mother's life is in danger.

Every federal budget since the Hyde Amendment was passed has included a rider with this provision—except this year's, thanks to President Biden and his party.

So, while Biden issued one proclamation recalling the tragedy of a massacre of black Americans, he now wants new federal policy that would use federal funds to subsidize another massacre.

Abortion policy in our nation amounts to nothing short of a massacre of and tragedy for black Americans, born and unborn.

According to National Right to Life, 62.5 million unborn children have been destroyed in the womb since Roe v. Wade legalized abortion on demand in 1973.

Per the most recent data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, about one-third of all abortions are done on black babies. Given this, we can estimate that since 1973, some 21 million black babies have been destroyed in the womb.

Despite the words in the president's new proclamation indicting the federal government in causing damage in black communities, this is exactly what he wants now: to bring the federal government in to fund the abortion massacre.

But the damage done by abortion to black Americans goes beyond this massacre of unborn black children. It has tangible, damaging effects on the wellbeing of the black family.

Why, after all these years, do poverty rates persist so much higher, on average, in black communities compared with national averages?

Data shows a compelling correlation between family structure and incidence of poverty.

Per the Census Bureau's "Income and Poverty in the United States: 2019" report and per Statista, the incidence of living under poverty is more than four times higher for black families headed by a single woman than for black families headed by a married couple. And 41% of black families are headed by a single woman.

Per data from Pew Research Center, in 1970, three years before the Roe v. Wade decision, around 10% of black adults over 25 had never been married. By 2012, this had more than tripled, to 36%.

Abortion undermines the values of the traditional family. And traditional family values provide the offramp from the cycle of poverty.

The persistence of problems in black communities stems from federal policies that pretend to fight the sin of racism with the sins of the destruction of life and family.

Now President Biden not only wants to continue this destruction; he wants to use our tax dollars to subsidize it.

#### $\star$ $\star$ $\star$ $\star$

An article by Michelle Malkin titled "Family Sovereignty Under Siege" was posted at creators.com on June 2, 2021. Following is the article.

Parents, beware. The dominion you have over your own children is under attack like never before. Teenage puppets for Big Pharma are being deployed on the ground and across social media airwaves to convince their peers to inject themselves with experimental drugs to allegedly prevent a disease for which the youth mortality rate is practically zero.

"Do it for the herd" is the new rallying cry of designated "VaxTeen ambassadors" spearheading covid-19 jab pop-up clinics at schools and churches nationwide targeting 25 million American children ages 12 to 17. VaxTeen is propped up by Google, the biotech lobby, and the Public Goods Project (a mysterious public health nonprofit backed by Silicon Valley, ad agencies and drug companies). As of last week, according to the Kaiser Family Foundation, more than 2 million 12-15-year-olds and 2.5 million 16-17-year-olds had received at least one covid-19 vaccine dose. That's about 12% and 31% of adolescents in each respective age group.

Increasing those rates has nothing to do with a cure and everything to do with control and conformity. That is why "skeptical" and "hesitant" moms and dads—in other words, independent-thinking and responsible moms and dads— are viewed as obstacles and enemies to be overcome for the "public good." The Kaiser Family Foundation crew has raised an alarm over the nearly 25% of all parents in a recent survey who said they would not allow their teens to be vaccinated. "Parental consent," two NBC News reporters bemoaned, is a problem that children must "contend with across the country"—as if parental authority over children is a problem to combat, not a God-given right to protect.

Last December, I warned in this column about the erosion of informed consent at the dawn of the most coercive era of medical tyranny in human history. The once-sacred principles enshrined in the Nuremberg Code and the Helsinki Declaration—autonomy and self-determination over medical decisions, voluntary exercise of the free power of choice, full disclosure of all relevant information and competency of the individual—have vanished. Since the Washington, D.C., City Council's adoption of legalizing immunization of children younger than 12 without parental consent, several more jurisdictions have put family sovereignty in their crosshairs.

In Pennsylvania, a proposed state law would allow teens 14 and up to evade parental control and get the covid-19 shot. The Philadelphia Board of Health declared in a recent order that "individuals 11 years of age and older" can now "consent to (their) own immunization with a covid-19 vaccine under an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), without the approval or consent of a parent or guardian." In Arizona, a court order can be obtained to allow for vaccination if a parent does not consent. In San Francisco, minors 12 and up have been granted the right to "self-consent" to covid-19 shots without parental permission.

In addition, North Carolina teenagers can receive vaccinations (not just covid-19) without parental consent; teenagers 14 and up in Tennessee and Alabama don't need consent; in Oregon, those 15 and older can evade parental consent laws; in Iowa, health care providers have discretion over children and teens' demands for vaccines.

The corporate-backed VaxTeen ambassadors are using TikTok, Instagram, Facebook and Twitter to lure students to their pop-up clinics, where free pretzels, ice cream and live music are in abundance. In Santa Clara County, California, the vax bribery is over-the-top: gift cards to Starbucks or Chipotle and backstage football stadium passes in partnership with the San Francisco 49ers.

The covid-19 tyrants are using kiddie human shields to crank up the propaganda to drive ever-greater wedges between children and their parents. Unvaxxed kids are being told by know-it-all adolescent shills that "hesitant" parents are responsible for a "dangerous tide of misinformation." But they won't be told about Big Pharma's immunity from vaccine lawsuits or that the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program has paid out more than \$4.3 billion to the vaccine-injured since 1988 or that the pioneer behind mRNA technology was censored by Twitter last week for daring to discuss the troubling phenomenon of "vaccine shedding."

The idea that easily influenced teenagers, let alone 11-year-old kids, could provide medical consent voluntarily and in a competent manner in this insane and evil climate is a human rights abomination. They are being bombarded by pro-covid-19 vaccination Hollywood agitprop, conditioned by ubiquitous pro-covid-19 vaccination content on social media, held hostage in public schools, deceived by Big Pharma's omissions and suppression of data, and viciously turned against their parents by a coordinated peer pressure campaign to stigmatize all dissent, no matter how mild.

Make no mistake: This isn't war on a pandemic. This is war on the nuclear family.

#### $\star$ $\star$ $\star$ $\star$ $\star$

An article by Susan Jones titled "Biden Urges Americans to 'Reflect on the Deep Roots of Racial Terror in Our Nation" was posted at cnsnews.com on June 1, 2021. Following is the article.

Biden's proclamation describes the Tulsa Race Massacre in the once-thriving Black community of Greenwood Avenue, also known as "Black Wall Street." He also described ordinances passed in the aftermath of the attack "that made recovery nearly impossible."

In a Memorial Day proclamation, President Joe Biden reminded Americans: "One hundred years ago, a violent white supremacist mob raided, firebombed, and destroyed approximately 35 square blocks of the thriving Black neighborhood of Greenwood in Tulsa, Oklahoma. Families and children were murdered in cold blood. Homes, businesses, and churches were burned. In all, as many as 300 Black Americans were killed, and nearly 10,000 were left destitute and homeless. Today, on this solemn centennial of the Tulsa Race Massacre, I call on the American people to reflect on the deep roots of racial terror in our Nation and recommit to the work of rooting out systemic racism across our country."

"The attack on Black families and Black wealth in Greenwood persisted across generations," Biden's proclamation said.

Biden will travel to Tulsa on this Tuesday, where he will announce new actions to "build Black wealth and narrow the racial wealth gap," as the White House put it in a fact sheet.

Among other things, Biden will:

■ Address racial discrimination in the housing market, including inequity in home appraisals.

- Grow federal contracting with small disadvantaged businesses by 50 percent.
- Establish a new, \$10 billion Community Revitalization Fund.

■ Award billions of dollars in grant programs for minority communities and businesses.

### 'We will never forget'

■ "The Federal Government must reckon with and acknowledge the role that it has played in stripping wealth and opportunity from Black communities," Biden's May 31 proclamation says.

■ "The Biden-Harris Administration is committed to acknowledging the role Federal policy played in Greenwood and other Black communities and addressing longstanding racial inequities through historic investments in the economic security of children and families, programs to provide capital for small businesses in economically disadvantaged areas, including minority-owned businesses, and ensuring that infrastructure projects increase opportunity, advance racial equity and environmental justice, and promote affordable access. A century later, the fear and pain from the devastation of Greenwood is still felt. As Viola Fletcher, a 107-year-old survivor of the Tulsa Race Massacre courageously testified before the Congress recently, "I will never forget the violence of the white mob when we left our home. I still see Black men being shot, Black bodies lying in the street. I still smell smoke and see fire. I still see Black businesses being burned. I still hear airplanes flying overhead. I hear the screams. I have lived through the massacre every day. Our country may forget this history, but I cannot."

■ "With this proclamation, I commit to the survivors of the Tulsa Race Massacre, including Viola Fletcher, Hughes Van Ellis, and Lessie Benningfield Randle, the descendants of victims, and to this Nation that we will never forget. We honor the legacy of the Greenwood community, and of Black Wall Street, by reaffirming our commitment to advance racial justice through the whole of our government, and working to root out systemic racism from our laws, our policies, and our hearts. NOW, THEREFORE, I, JOSEPH R. BIDEN JR., President of the United States of America, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, do hereby proclaim May 31, 2021, a Day of Remembrance: 100 Years After The 1921 Tulsa Race Massacre."

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$ 

An article by Ben Shapiro titled "The Muddled Thinking of 'Anti-Racism' " was posted at creators.com on June 2, 2021. Following is the article.

This week, a clip of America's most prominent racial grifter, Ibram X. Kendi, began making the rounds on Twitter. Kendi, the author of "How to Be an Antiracist," has undoubtedly made a fortune by indicting those who disagree with him as complicit in American racism—and by providing partial absolution to those who repeat his cultish ideas. In one particular clip from a recent interview, however, Kendi was asked to do one very simple thing: to define racism itself. Kendi failed signally in that task. "I would define it as a collection of racist policies that lead to racial inequity that are substantiated by racist ideas," Kendi stated.

The audience laughed out loud.

Kendi then reiterated his definition and added: "And antiracism is pretty simple using the same terms. Antiracism is a collection of antiracist policies leading to racial . . . equity that are substantiated by antiracist ideas."

This, of course, is utterly nonsensical. No term can be defined by simple reference to the term itself. If someone asked you to define an elephant and you quickly explained that an elephant is, in fact, an animal known as an elephant, you would be adding no new information. If someone asked you to describe anger and you then defined anger as the feeling of being angry, you would leave the listener in serious doubt as to your sanity.

Yet the left not only nods along to this; it champions it. For deep thoughts like Kendi's, CEOs pay millions: Jack Dorsey of Twitter gave Kendi's Center for Antiracist Research at Boston University \$10 million last year; The Vertex Foundation of Vertex Pharmaceuticals is giving Kendi's center \$1.5 million over three years; Bank of America has brought in Kendi to deliver his insipid message; The Boston Globe has teamed with Kendi's center to create a new media platform. To date, the Center for Antiracist Research has generated precisely zero research; its website reads, "We are now accepting proposals for our research and policy teams." The center is also accepting applications for its "Antibigotry Convening." And, of course, the center has merchandise, including Antiracist Book Festival face masks (for just \$25!).

The goal of many on the left these days is not clarification but obfuscation, particularly on racial issues. Data is not only unnecessary; it's reviled. If the left wishes to promote the argument that racial inequalities are the result of historic injustices, one would hope that someone would bother quantifying to what extent those inequalities are the result of individual decisions versus the result of other factors. If, for example, differential poverty rates by community are highly related to single motherhood—and if single motherhood can only be avoided through personal decision-making—then focusing on historic racism to the exclusion of personal decision-making not only does little good; it does active harm. Yet that is precisely what Kendi proposes—and he calls you racist if you suggest otherwise.

Kendi's solutions are the sorts of solutions the left likes. He has proposed a federal Department of Antiracism with the power to pre-clear "all local, state

and federal public policies to ensure they won't yield racial inequity, monitor those policies, investigate private racist policies when racial inequity surfaces, and monitor public officials for expressions of racist ideas." In other words, it's authoritarian dictatorship to establish Kendi's vaguely defined antiracism.

The left embraces this loose thinking because it promotes a broader agenda: blaming institutions broadly for all problems and then remolding those institutions. In this task, obfuscation becomes profoundly important, lest Americans recognize that in a free America, the best path toward alleviating inequity is individual rights rather than a top-down rewriting of American society.

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$ 

An article by Ron Ross titled "Remember When Leftists Were Obsessed With Self-Esteem?" was posted at americanthinker.com on May 22, 2021. Following is the article.

Why, in a few decades, has the left's obsession flipped from self-love to self-loathing, from pride to shame?

During the 1970s the "self-esteem movement" commenced. According to Wikipedia:

The underlying idea of the movement was that low self-esteem was the root of problems for individuals, making it the root of societal problems and dysfunctions. A leading figure of the movement, psychologist Nathaniel Branden, stated, "I cannot think of a single psychological problem from anxiety and depression to fear of intimacy or of success, to spouse battery or child molestation that is not traced back to the problem of low self-esteem."

As is the case with most obsessions of the left, the self-esteem movement soon faded into obscurity. You no longer hear much about it. The problem was not with the goal of self-esteem. It makes perfect sense that self-esteem and self-confidence are useful in living our lives. The problem was that the left tried to achieve it in its usual simple-minded, ham-handed style.

We can earn real self-esteem only by overcoming life's challenges and disappointments. In contrast, the self-esteem movement's solution was to make life easier for children and take the possibility of disappointment out of their lives. The results were grade inflation, participation trophies, fear of competition, elimination of standardized testing, trigger warnings, and micro-aggressions.

Consequently, our characters have grown weak and fragile. Our culture has grown morbidly obese. Universities overflow with "snowflakes" and "safe spaces." Ordinary debate is taboo.

Human nature evolved to cope with hardships much greater than those we face in today's world. Our instinctual responses to modern life are out of sync with current conditions.

When there are no hardships such as those we've evolved to endure, one response is to create imaginary ones. When we no longer need to worry about where our next meal is coming from, we invent and exaggerate distant

and unproven specters—e.g., "catastrophic global warming." We then overreact to minor problems that our forebears would have laughed at. Psyches built for primitive survival ironically struggle to survive modern-day abundance, comfort, and long life expectancies.

The left's plan to bolster self-esteem by making everyone weaker did not work. It did, however, help Democrats in their never-ending quest for more power.

But even creating weakness has not satisfied the left. Leftists not only want us to be weak but also want us to loathe ourselves. A guilt-ridden, shamed citizenry is much easier to control and manipulate. Happy people do not want their lives to be controlled by other people—e.g., politicians. Furthermore, it's far easier to get people to hate themselves than to love themselves.

The left now tells us, in no uncertain terms, that we are despicable people who reside in an irredeemably racist country, a country with a history we should be deeply ashamed of.

Years ago, leftists' obsession was to instill self-esteem in people who didn't deserve it. Now it's to instill guilt in people who don't deserve it and victimhood in people who don't deserve it. It seems that all they care about is making sure that whatever anybody gets is undeserved.

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$ 

An article by Susan Jones titled "Biden: 'Simply Un-American' for States to Pass Voting Reforms That Boost Election Security" was posted at cnsnews. com on June 2, 2021. Following is the article.

On a trip to Tulsa where he focused on racial hatred and division, President Joe Biden brought up voting rights and the Democrats' push to pass H.R. 1, a bill that would relax voting rules and give the federal government more control over how states and localities run their elections.

Democrats continue to make the case that Republican state legislatures are specifically trying to suppress the black vote by requiring voter identification, for example, or by eliminating the unsolicited mailing of ballots to everyone on the voter registration rolls, which often are outdated.

"We must find the courage to change the things we know we can change," Biden said, as he discussed his administration's expanded effort to boost "black wealth creation" through housing and jobs programs.

"But just as fundamental as any of these investments I've discussed is maybe the most fundamental — the right to vote," Biden said, as he invoked the late Rep. John Lewis (D-Ga.), for whom the Democrats' "voting rights" bill is named.

"But you know what John said?" Biden asked. "He called the right to vote precious, almost sacred. He said (it is) the most powerful non-violent tool we have in a democratic society. This sacred right is under assault with incredible intensity like I've never seen, even though I got started as a public defender and a civil rights lawyer. With an intensity and aggressiveness that we've not seen in a long, long time. It's simply un-American," Biden said.

## **Un-American?**

Texas is among the Republican-led states that has come under leftists' fire for election reforms it has so far been unable to pass.

As Gov. Greg Abbott described it, the bill, which he declared to be an emergency measure, would "prevent election officials from jeopardizing the election process and encouraging voter fraud through the abuse of mail ballots and drive-thru vot-ing." The bill also would promote transparency at the polls by ensuring that poll watchers in Texas are not obstructed from observing the counting of ballots.

"Our objective in Texas is to ensure that every eligible voter gets to vote and that only eligible ballots are counted," said Governor Abbott in a March news release. "In the 2020 election, we witnessed actions throughout our state that could risk the integrity of our elections and enable voter fraud, which is why I made election integrity an emergency item this session."

(Biden in a news release called the Texas bill an attack on "the sacred right to vote" and an "assault on democracy.")

In Florida, Gov. Ron DeSantis recently signed into law an "election integrity" bill that strengthens existing voter ID laws, bans ballot harvesting, prohibits unsolicited mass mailing of ballots, increases election transparency, and prohibits private money from administering elections.

While Florida already requires identification to vote, Senate Bill 90 will require additional identification information when changing any voter registration information, or requesting a vote by mail ballot, preventing fraud and securing the voter rolls.

But to Biden and his fellow Democrats, rules like the ones mentioned above will suppress the vote, even though American voters have never had so many options for early voting, absentee voting, and in-person voting.

# 'Assault on the right to vote'

"In 2020 we faced a tireless assault on the right to vote," Biden claimed in Tulsa on Tuesday. "Restrictive laws, lawsuits, threats to—of intimidation, voter purges, and more. We resolved to overcome it all, and we did. More Americans voted in the last election than any—in the midst of a pandemic, than any election in American history. You got voters registered. You got voters to the polls. The rule of law held. Democracy prevailed. We overcame. But today, let me be unequivocal. I've been engaged in this work my whole career, and we're going to be ramping up our efforts to overcome again. I will have more to say about this at a later date, the truly unprecedented assault on our democracy, an effort to replace nonpartisan election administrators and to intimidate those charged with tallying and reporting the election results. But today, as for the act of voting itself, I urge voting rights groups in this country to begin to redouble their efforts now to register and educate voters. And in June—And June should be a month of action on Capitol Hill. I hear all the folks on TV saying, "Why doesn't Biden get this done?" Well, because Biden only has a majority of effectively four votes in the House and a tie in the Senate, with two members of the Senate who vote more with my Republican friends. But we're not giving up. Earlier this year, the House of Representatives passed the For the People Act to protect our democracy. The Senate will take it up later this month. And I'm going to fight like heck with every tool at my disposal for its passage. The House is also working on the John Lewis Voting Rights Act, which is—which is critical to providing new legal tools—to combat the new assault on the right to vote. To signify the importance of our efforts, today I'm asking Vice President Harris to help these efforts and lead them, among her many other responsibilities. With her leadership and your support, we're going to overcome again, I promise you. But is going to take a hell of a lot of work."

According to a summary provided by the Congressional Research Service, H.R. 1 would expand voter registration (e.g., automatic and same-day registration) and voting access (e.g., vote-by-mail and early voting). It also limits the removal of voters from voter rolls.

The bill requires states to establish independent redistricting commissions to carry out congressional redistricting. And it addresses campaign finance, boosting taxpayer funding for people seeking certain federal offices.

The bill addresses ethics in all three branches of government, including by requiring a code of conduct for Supreme Court Justices, prohibiting Members of the House from serving on the board of a for-profit entity, and establishing additional conflictof-interest and ethics provisions for federal employees and the White House.

The bill requires the President, the Vice President, and certain candidates for those offices to disclose 10 years of tax returns.

 $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$   $\star$ 

**Isaiah 55:6-11**—"Seek you the LORD while He may be found, call upon Him while He is near. Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; let him return to the LORD, and He will have mercy on him; and to our God, for He will abundantly pardon. 'For My thoughts are not you thoughts, nor are your ways My ways,' says the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are My ways higher than your ways, and My thoughts than your thoughts. For as the rain comes down, and the snow from heaven, and do not return there, but water the earth, and make it bring forth and bud, that it may give seed to the sower and bread to the eater, so shall My word be that goes forth from My mouth; it shall not return to Me void, but it shall accomplish what I please, and it shall prosper in the thing for which I sent it."